

**BEFORE THE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF )  
OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY )  
FOR AN ORDER OF THE COMMISSION )  
AUTHORIZING APPLICANT TO MODIFY ITS )  
RATES, CHARGES, AND TARIFFS FOR RETAIL )  
ELECTRIC SERVICE IN OKLAHOMA )

CAUSE NO. PUD 202100164



Direct Testimony

of

Jeremy K. Schwartz

on behalf of

Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company

December 30, 2021

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE AND PURPOSE.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>PRO FORMA REVENUE ADJUSTMENT SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>PRO FORMA REVENUE DETAILED ADJUSTMENTS.....</b>	<b>5</b>
Adjustment 1 – Unbilled Revenue, Over/Under Amounts, and Provision for Tax Refund .....	5
Adjustment 2 – Special Contracts.....	6
Adjustment 3 – Day-Ahead Pricing.....	7
Adjustment 4 – Manual Postings.....	8
Adjustment 5 – Rider Removal, Fuel Revenues, and Rider Revenue Moving to Base Rates....	8
Adjustment 6 – REC .....	9
Adjustment 7 – Customer Growth, Annualization, and Large New Customers.....	9
Adjustment 8 – Energy Efficiency Program Savings .....	11
Adjustment 9 – Weather Normalization .....	12
Adjustment 10 – VPP Normalization .....	12
Adjustment 11 – Free Service and Discount Programs .....	13
<b>PRO FORMA REVENUE TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS.....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>SPECIFIC TARIFF UPDATES .....</b>	<b>14</b>
Energy Efficiency Program (“EEP”).....	14
Load Reduction (“LR”), DAP, and FP .....	15
Military Base Tariff Credit (“MBTC”).....	16
Back-up Service (“BUS”).....	16
<b>CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>17</b>

## **TABLE INDEX**

<b>Table 1 – Adjustment Summary.....</b>	<b>4</b>
--	----------

**QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE AND PURPOSE**

Q. **Please state your name and business address.**

A. My name is Jeremy K. Schwartz. My business address is 321 North Harvey, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102.

Q. **By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

A. I am employed by Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company (“OG&E” or “Company”) as a Lead Pricing Analyst. I am responsible for assisting in the development of retail electricity pricing, rate design, and tariffs.

Q. **Please summarize your educational background and professional qualifications.**

A. I earned my bachelor’s degree in Economics from Oklahoma State University in Stillwater, Oklahoma. Prior to assuming my current position in OG&E, I spent four and a half years with the Oklahoma Corporation Commission’s (“OCC”) Public Utility Division (“PUD”). During my time with PUD, I was responsible for conducting research and performing comparative analysis of utility applications, reports, financial records, and all work papers. My work focused in the areas of cost of service, rate design, and the preparation of PUD’s Accounting Exhibit. While an employee with PUD, I attended numerous utility industry trainings, most of which were related to retail rate and product pricing. I have been employed with OG&E since January 2018. My current responsibilities include assisting in the analysis of retail customers to develop pricing schedules for incorporation into the Company’s retail tariffs.

Q. **Have you previously testified before the OCC?**

A. Yes. I have testified and/or participated in over 50 causes before the OCC regarding electric, gas, water, and telecommunication issues.

1 Q. **What is the purpose of your testimony?**

2 A. The purpose of this Testimony will cover the Pro forma revenue adjustments to Schedule  
3 H of the Company's Application Package. (These adjustments can be found in Section H,  
4 Schedule H-2 of that document) and some specific tariff updates.  
5

6 **PRO FORMA REVENUE ADJUSTMENT SUMMARY**

7 Q. **Please list the *pro forma* adjustments that you are sponsoring.**

8 A. I am sponsoring eleven *pro forma* adjustments to the current Oklahoma jurisdictional  
9 revenues as reflected in Schedule H-2. These adjustments are summarized below in Table  
10 1 – Adjustment Summary.

**Table 1 – Adjustment Summary**

	<b>Adjustment</b>	<b>Amount</b>
1	Unbilled, Over/Under, Provision for Tax Refund Recoveries	(\$172,411,401)
2	Special Contracts	(\$1,616,784)
3	Day-Ahead Pricing	(\$15,587,160)
4	Manual Postings	\$1,136,866
5	Rider Revenues	(\$590,015,334)
6	Renewable Energy Certificates	(\$5,350,868)
7	Customer Growth & Annualization	\$5,291,307
8	Energy Efficiency Program Savings	(\$4,518,554)
9	Weather Normalization	\$16,070,999
10	VPP Normalization	(\$2,942,161)
11	Free Service, LIAP, & Senior Citizen Discount	(\$2,448,990)
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>(\$771,762,082)</b>

11 Q. **Why is the Company proposing these adjustments?**

12 A. The adjustments to the Company's September 2021 Test Year information are intended to  
13 eliminate non-base rate revenue, to normalize and annualize test year revenue, and to also  
14 reflect the level of kWh sales for OG&E's Oklahoma retail customer groups at the end of  
15 the *pro forma* period through March 31, 2022. The Company's revenue adjustment process  
16 generally involves four (4) steps.

17 First, OG&E removes any revenues and associated kWh sales that may have  
18 occurred during the test year ended September 2021 that are not related to any investment

1 or expenses included in the cost of providing service as reflected in the Cost of Service  
2 Study (“COSS”). Such revenues can include Fuel Cost Adjustment (“FCA”) revenue,  
3 revenue from riders other than the FCA revenue from special contracts, as well as certain  
4 accounting adjustments. This step is reflected in Adjustments 1 through 6 in Table 1.

5 Second, the Company adjusts test year base rate revenues to reflect customer  
6 growth and annualization projections through the end of the March 2022 *pro forma* period.  
7 This step is reflected in Adjustment 7 (Customer Growth & Annualization) and 8 (Energy  
8 Efficiency Programs Savings) in Table 1.

9 Third, the Company normalizes base rate revenues as adjusted in the first and  
10 second steps to reflect a normalized weather year. Adjustment 9 in Table 1 adjusts base  
11 rate revenues to reflect normal weather. Additionally, OG&E also normalized the energy  
12 sales bands in the Variable Peak Pricing (“VPP”) class. This is reflected as Adjustment 10  
13 in Table 1.

14 Finally, the Company adjusts individual customer class revenues to reflect the cost  
15 for Municipal Free Service and the impact of Low-Income Assistance Program (“LIAP”)  
16 credits and Senior Citizens Discount credits. This is reflected in Adjustment 11.  
17

### 18 **PRO FORMA REVENUE DETAILED ADJUSTMENTS**

#### 19 **Adjustment 1 – Unbilled Revenue, Over/Under Amounts, and Provision for Tax Refund**

20 Q. **Please describe Adjustment 1 related to Unbilled Revenue, Over/Under Recovery,**  
21 **and Provision for Tax Refund Amounts.**

22 A. This adjustment has three (3) parts. The first part includes the removal of the accounting  
23 Unbilled Revenue adjustment and associated kWh. The second step is the removal of any  
24 Over/Under recovery of fuel and rider revenue collections. The final step is the removal  
25 of the Provision for Tax Refund. These adjustments decrease net Oklahoma jurisdiction  
26 *pro forma* revenue by \$172,411,401.  
27

28 Q. **Why is the removal of the Unbilled Revenue adjustment and associated kWh sales**  
29 **necessary?**

30 A. While all customers are billed for service in monthly increments, the dates that period starts  
31 and stops (billing cycle) varies by customer group. The Unbilled Revenue and kWh book

1 balances are accounting entries that allow billing cycle books to be aligned with calendar  
2 month books. Since these book entries are not representative of actual billed sales, it is  
3 necessary to remove or add the entries to ensure rate design is performed on the actual  
4 billing units and revenues that occurred in the test year. This results in a \$9,800,000  
5 decrease in revenues and a reduction of 128,173,439 kWh.

6  
7 **Q. Please explain why it is necessary to remove any Over/Under recovery of rider**  
8 **revenues.**

9 A. The Over/Under rider revenue recovery book balance for the test year includes accounting  
10 entries that reflect the difference between FCA and rider revenues that were based on  
11 projections and the actual FCA and rider expense experienced during the test year. This  
12 adjustment is necessary to reflect expected levels of recovery of the FCA and other riders.  
13 In the test year, there was a net under recovery of FCA and other rider revenues combined.  
14 This adjustment decreases revenues by \$162,052,554. The adjusted revenues for the FCA  
15 and other riders where the associated expenses are not included in the COSS are further  
16 addressed in Adjustment 5.

17  
18 **Q. Why is the removal of the Provision for Tax Refund revenue necessary?**

19 A. Historical test year revenue refund provisions are not related to the pro forma test year.  
20 The Company set aside revenues in the provision for refund account for the Tax Cuts and  
21 Jobs Act of 2017. Once a final order was granted in PUD 201700496, the Company used  
22 the same account to refund customers through the Federal Tax Change Rider ("FTC"). The  
23 FTC revenues and the Provision for Refund are removed and replaced with an exact rate  
24 recalculation adjustment reflected in Adjustment 1. The Provision for Tax Refund  
25 adjustment results in a reduction of \$558,847 in revenues.

26  
27 Adjustment 2 – Special Contracts

28 **Q. Please explain Adjustment 2 relating to Special Contracts.**

29 A. There are currently three (3) special contracts that require an adjustment to revenues within  
30 Schedule H. In the filing, these confidential contracts are identified as Contracts T, O1,

1 and O2, respectively. Adjustment 2 increases net *pro forma* test year revenues related to  
2 these three (3) contracts \$1,616,784 and decreases *proforma* test year kWh by 88,663,617.  
3

4 **Q. Please explain why the Special Contract T adjustment is necessary.**

5 A. The Special Contract T adjustment is required per Order No. 588610 in Cause No. PUD  
6 201000194. In this order, the Commission found that the revenues related to Special  
7 Contract T shall be allocated to OG&E's Oklahoma retail customer classes using OG&E's  
8 distribution demand allocator, as determined in the Company's rate cases. The effect of  
9 this adjustment is to off-set the deficiency for each of the customer classes which reduces  
10 the revenue requirement to be recovered in base rates for each class.  
11

12 **Q. Please explain why the Special Contract O1 adjustment is necessary.**

13 A. The Special Contract O1 adjustment is required because the revenue from this customer is  
14 tied to investments and expenses not recovered in base rates, resulting in a decrease in test  
15 year billed kWh.  
16

17 **Q. Please explain why the Special Contract O2 adjustment is necessary.**

18 A. The Special Contract O2 adjustment is required because the Renewable Energy Certificates  
19 ("REC") revenue included through the contract benefits customers and is reflected as a  
20 reduction in the FCA and results in a decrease in test year revenues.  
21

### 22 Adjustment 3 – Day-Ahead Pricing

23 **Q. What is the adjustment made to the Day-Ahead Pricing?**

24 A. Adjustment 3 consists of two (2) parts. The first removes all incremental and decremental  
25 revenue and kWh associated with the Day-Ahead Pricing<sup>1</sup> ("DAP") and Flex Price<sup>2</sup> ("FP")  
26 subscriptions. The remaining revenue is revenue that is only associated with the Customer  
27 Base Line ("CBL") portion of these customers' bills. The second part adjusts for customers  
28 who either joined or left the DAP or FP tariffs during the test year. For customers that  
29 joined the rate, the Company removes actual billing units and replaces them with the CBL

---

<sup>1</sup> Tariff Sheet No. 33.00

<sup>2</sup> Tariff Sheet No. 34.00

1 data for the months that they were not on the DAP or FP rate. For customers that have left  
2 the rate, the Company removes the CBL data for the months that they were on the DAP or  
3 FP rate and replaces them with their actual billing data. Both adjustments produce a  
4 revenue decrease of \$15,587,160 and a sales decrease of 306,064,295 kWh to the  
5 Oklahoma jurisdiction.

6  
7 **Q. Why are these adjustments appropriate?**

8 A. The costs associated with incremental and decremental kWh are based upon current system  
9 marginal costs and are therefore unrelated to embedded costs. In contrast, the CBL portion  
10 of the DAP and FP billings is based upon standard rates and are included in the COSS and  
11 base rate design.

12  
13 Adjustment 4 – Manual Postings

14 **Q. What are Manual Postings and why is the adjustment necessary?**

15 A. Manual postings are revenue credits entered into the billing system for non-typical  
16 customer specific issues. For example, these postings may be for customer refunds for  
17 burned out security lights that were not repaired for an extended time and billing was not  
18 temporarily halted, or billing adjustments for metering issues, including tampering. These  
19 postings are manual revenue entries and do not correspond to an equivalent billing  
20 determinant adjustment. In total, for the test year, this adjustment resulted in an \$1,136,866  
21 increase to revenues to the Oklahoma jurisdiction. Since these revenues are not considered  
22 an ongoing occurrence, and are not tied to base rate revenue, Adjustment 4 removes the  
23 postings to better reflect normal revenue levels.

24  
25 Adjustment 5 – Rider Removal, Fuel Revenues, and Rider Revenue Moving to Base Rates

26 **Q. Please explain Adjustment 5A – Rider Removal, Adjustment 5B - FCA Revenues and**  
27 **Adjustment 5C – Rider Revenues Moving to Base Rates.**

28 A. Adjustment 5A removes all non-FCA rider revenue from the COSS, reducing test year  
29 revenues by \$129,976,758. Adjustment 5B accomplishes the same purpose as 5A but  
30 separates out the FCA for materiality and transparency. The FCA adjustment reduces  
31 revenues by \$495,083,252. Adjustment 5C reflects the addition to revenues of amounts



1 that are currently being recovered through riders. These amounts are associated with the  
2 Amortization of the Regulatory Liability (“ARL”) portion of the Federal Tax Change  
3 (“FTC”) Rider, Generation Capacity Replacement Rider (“GCRR”), and Grid  
4 Enhancement Mechanism (“GEM”) and result in an increase to revenues of \$35,044,676.  
5 The movement of these revenue amounts out of riders and into base revenue is further  
6 discussed in the Direct Testimony of Company Witness Jason Thenmadathil. The net  
7 effect of these three (3) adjustments is a reduction to revenues of \$590,015,334.  
8

9 **Q. Please explain why it is necessary to remove the revenue for riders that do not have**  
10 **expenses or plant included in base rates.**

11 **A.** When certain investment and expenses have not been included in the COSS, the rider  
12 revenues must be removed, consistent with the principle of matching costs with revenues.  
13

14 Adjustment 6 – REC

15 **Q. Please explain the adjustment to remove REC revenue.**

16 **A.** This adjustment removes revenues booked because of REC sales from various renewable  
17 resources to the wholesale market during the test year. The proceeds from these sales are  
18 booked into miscellaneous revenue each month and are then credited through rider  
19 mechanisms or retained by shareholders as directed in prior commission orders. For the  
20 test year, the total revenues decrease was \$5,350,868.  
21

22 Adjustment 7 – Customer Growth, Annualization, and Large New Customers

23 **Q. What is the purpose of Adjustment 8 – Customer Growth, Annualization, and Large**  
24 **New Customers?**

25 **A.** This adjustment modifies revenue, kWh, kW, and customer counts to account for  
26 customers that have either left the system, are new to the system, migrated to another rate,  
27 or were re-billed in a month different than the month that actually usage occurred in that  
28 period. For all classes combined, the adjustment results in a net revenue increase of  
29 \$5,921,307 and a net sales increase of 134,423,773 kWh to the Oklahoma jurisdiction.

1 Q. **Please explain why this adjustment is necessary.**

2 A. Customer count and consumption volumes vary month-to-month during the test year.  
3 Adjusting test year books to reflect *pro forma* customer counts and consumption volumes  
4 at test year-end captures any growth or decline in customer counts and consumption  
5 volumes for each rate class.  
6

7 Q. **Did the Company use more than one method used for calculating this adjustment?**

8 A. Yes. For all customer classes at Service Level 1 through 4 and for the Large Power and  
9 Light customer class at Service Level 5 ("SL5"), adjustments were handled on a customer  
10 by customer basis based on an analysis of existing and new customers. Because of the  
11 large number of customers receiving SL5 service in other classes, OG&E employed an  
12 average customer adjustment technique for the SL5 customers in those classes.  
13

14 Q. **Please describe in further detail the customer adjustments applied on a customer by  
15 customer basis.**

16 A. At test year-end, the sales associated with customers who switched rate classes during the  
17 test year were included in their new rate class for the entire test year. For those customers  
18 new to the system during the test year, *pro forma* sales are added to the months in the test  
19 year for which sales did not previously exist. These "annualized" sales were based on  
20 average customer sales similar in size to the customer being adjusted. This adjustment  
21 resulted in a net revenue decrease of \$1,331,907 and a sales decrease of 74,737,923 kWh.  
22

23 Q. **Please describe in further detail the average customer adjustment technique.**

24 A. OG&E used 60 months of customer data to determine a growth rate for each of the SL5  
25 classes to project customer count at the end of the *pro forma* period. Each SL5 class  
26 customer counts were independently analyzed to determine the method that best reflects  
27 the actual growth rate that each class is experiencing. For Residential, an exponential  
28 customer growth trend of the 60 months of data was used. General Service and Power &  
29 Light were looked at in groups between system migrations. A growth rate was calculated  
30 for all periods and then a weighted average growth rate was applied. For Public Schools  
31 Small and Large, Municipal Pumping, and Oil and Gas, no growth was applied as these

1 classes show little to no overall growth other than migration between the tariffs. For the  
2 Residential and General Service classes, the spread between standard, TOU, and VPP was  
3 performed according to the ratio of these rates observed at the end of the test year. This  
4 method captures customer growth through the end of the *pro forma* period using a known  
5 and measurable growth rate for each customer class. This adjustment resulted in a net  
6 revenue increase of \$6,016,571 and a sales increase of 74,095,720 kWh.

7  
8 **Q. Please describe any adjustments made for Large New Customers.**

9 A. This adjustment accounts for Large New Customers which are expected to join the system  
10 within the test year and *pro forma* test year periods. There are three (3) customers to which  
11 this applies in this filing. Corresponding estimates of kWh and kW are added into the test  
12 year to account for these customers. These adjustments resulted in a net revenue increase  
13 of \$2,588,402 and a sales increase of 135,068,868 kWh.

14  
15 **Q. Please describe in further detail the customer adjustment for the customer migration  
16 for customer classes at SL5.**

17 A. OG&E periodically checks customer usage to determine if they are on the correct tariff  
18 applicable to their usage. This test year included a check in May 2021. If the customer's  
19 usage warrants a change in rate category, then OG&E will migrate the customer to the  
20 applicable rate effective for the following month's billing. The largest of the migrations  
21 was between the General Service and Power and Light rate classes at SL5. To account for  
22 these movements, actual kWh, and kW, as available, were moved to the new rate code.  
23 This adjustment resulted in a net revenue decrease of \$1,351,759.

24  
25 Adjustment 8 – Energy Efficiency Program Savings

26 **Q. Please discuss the adjustment related to the Energy Efficiency Programs (“EEP”) Savings and why it is necessary.**

27  
28 A. This adjustment decreases energy, demand, and revenue to account for lost sales resulting  
29 from energy efficiency measures implemented through the EEP Rider through September  
30 2021 and projected through March 2022. Since energy saved by customers implementing  
31 energy efficiency measures is cumulative and changes every month when new measures

1 are implemented, it is necessary to adjust each month of the test year to March 2022 levels.  
2 Decreasing test year sales to the savings recognized at March 2022 allows revenue, energy,  
3 and demand to be representative of the expected levels of sales going forward. This  
4 adjustment results in a revenue decrease of \$4,518,554 and a decrease of 147,040,754 kWh.  
5

#### 6 Adjustment 9 – Weather Normalization

7 **Q. What is the purpose of a weather normalization adjustment?**

8 A. A weather normalization adjustment changes revenue, energy, and demand to reflect  
9 normal weather in the test year. In this case this adjustment results in a revenue increase  
10 of \$16,070,999 and an increase of 203,045,382 kWh to the Oklahoma jurisdiction.  
11

12 **Q. Why are weather normalization adjustments necessary?**

13 A. The effects temperature has on heating and cooling loads in relation to electricity usage  
14 can cause significant annual revenue swings and cause test year revenue to differ from the  
15 expected revenue outcome for a normal year. Therefore, the Company has attempted to  
16 normalize its revenues to reflect a more “average” weather year.  
17

#### 18 Adjustment 10 – VPP Normalization

19 **Q. What is the purpose of the VPP Normalization adjustment?**

20 A. The VPP Normalization adjustment modifies revenue to reflect what is considered a typical  
21 year’s level of revenue recovery through the VPP program. As discussed in the Company’s  
22 Commission approved VPP tariff<sup>3</sup>, the expectation is that there would be 10 Low price  
23 days, 30 Standard price days, 36 High price days, and 10 Critical price days in a typical  
24 year. In this adjustment, the VPP class energy sales bands are normalized. In this case,  
25 this adjustment results in a revenue decrease of \$2,942,161 to the Oklahoma jurisdiction.

---

<sup>3</sup> 4<sup>th</sup> Revised Tariff Sheet No. 3.51.

Adjustment 11 – Free Service and Discount Programs

Q. **Please explain the revenue adjustment for Municipal Free Service, Low Income Assistance Program (“LIAP”), and Senior Citizen Discount.**

A. Several OG&E programs provide service to customers for free or at a discount. These programs include municipal lighting service provided at no cost as part of franchise agreements with certain municipalities, the LIAP discount, and the Senior Citizen Discount. These programs create a revenue shortfall that is collected from other customers.

Q. **Why is the Municipal Lighting portion of this adjustment proper?**

A. Book revenues and billing units in the Municipal Lighting class do not include portions associated with the granting of free service to certain municipalities as a condition of certain OG&E franchise agreements. Consequently, it is necessary to add the missing fixtures, kWh, and revenue into the lighting class to ensure proper rate design and COSS assignment. The adding of these revenues and billing units can be seen in adjustment W/P H-2-8a where the book portions are removed, and total year-end levels are added back including the free service portions. If the revenues were not added, the Municipal Lighting class would show a deficiency commensurate with these free service revenues and all other Municipal Lighting customers would be solely responsible to pay for this. To recover the revenue recognized, but not received by the Company, all classes other than lighting, are assessed a charge equal to the amount of revenue added. This process spreads franchise costs of free service to the other Oklahoma retail customers. This adjustment resulted in a \$2,448,990 reduction to revenue.

Q. **Why are the LIAP and Senior Citizen Discount portions of this adjustment proper?**

A. Eligible low-income customers receive a \$10 credit each month. Eligible senior citizen customers receive a \$5 credit during the five summer months of June through October. These credits decrease book revenues. The recovery of these credits is distributed among all retail customer classes to ensure the Residential class does not solely bear the cost associated with providing this credit. The amount of the LIAP discount to be recovered from other customers is \$3,495,890 and the Senior Citizen amount is \$477,766.

1                                   **PRO FORMA REVENUE TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS**

2    Q.     **Please summarize the total *pro forma* revenue adjustments you are recommending?**

3    A.     The total test year Oklahoma book revenue of \$2,004,051,835 has been reduced by the  
4           adjustments discussed in this Testimony by the amount of \$771,762,082 resulting in  
5           adjusted Oklahoma base rate revenue of \$1,232,289,753. The supporting calculations and  
6           spreadsheets for the above *pro forma* adjustments are found in Schedule H-2 of the  
7           Company's Application Package.

8  
9                                   **SPECIFIC TARIFF UPDATES**

10                               Energy Efficiency Program ("EEP")

11   Q.     **Please discuss the Company's proposed update to its EEP tariff.**

12   A.     In Cause No. PUD 202100121, the Company provided notice that it intends to remove all  
13           EEP related labor from base rates and instead collect them through the EEP tariff.  
14           Specifically, testimony in that Cause<sup>4</sup> stated,

15                   *The Company intends to leave the labor dollars in base rates at this time.*  
16                   *However, in its next rate case, OG&E will propose the removal of EEP*  
17                   *labor dollars from base. As part of the compliance process after a final*  
18                   *order in that rate case, the Company will submit revised EEP factors that*  
19                   *include the change moving EEP labor from base into the tariff. Lining up*  
20                   *the timing of new rates following a final order in a base rate case with*  
21                   *updating the EEP factors will ensure there is no cross over in recovery of*  
22                   *EEP labor.*

23           The Direct Testimony of OG&E Witness Jason Thenmadathil in this Cause will  
24           address the removal of EEP labor dollars from base rates. The revised EEP factors resulting  
25           from moving the EEP related labor dollars from base rates into the EEP tariff for recovery  
26           will be included in the compliance tariffs submitted with the final order in this cause. While  
27           moving these amounts from base rates to be recovered through the EEP tariff will result in  
28           an EEP factor change, the impact to customers will remain the same.

---

<sup>4</sup> Amended Direct Testimony of Jeremy K. Schwartz, Page 6, Lines 31-36.

Load Reduction (“LR”), DAP, and FP

Q. **Please discuss the Company’s proposed modifications to its LR, DAP, and FP tariffs.**

A. All three of these tariffs includes minor modifications on wording that should aid in customer comprehension of program operation. In addition to those changes, the main modifications to these tariffs is related to the ability to co-subscribe to LR while on DAP or FP. This provision has been removed as an option from all three tariffs. The revisions to these tariffs are included in the Company’s Application Package as a part of Schedule N.

Q. **Why has the Company removed the co-subscription option for DAP or FP with LR?**

A. The Company has received customer feedback that indicates co-subscription causes confusion and hinders their ability to respond effectively and efficiently to program signals. For example, when a DAP customer co-subscribes to LR, the customer receives (1) price signals from the market and (2) load reduction requests during LR events. LR signals focus on absolute load reductions for a multiple hour event while the DAP program publishes prices in hourly granularity. Within an LR event, the hour to hour DAP price varies. This variation does not give a clear signal as to how a customer should respond.

In addition, LR event performance is measured against Baseline kWh that is calculated as the average kWh in each of the same hours (or portion of hours) from the previous five work days whereas DAP is measured against the customers CBL which is contractually established annually. The differences between these two performance criteria may also contribute to the feedback OG&E has received as customers were conflicted on how to optimize their consumption based on the signals provided.

From the program perspective, the two programs are intended to achieve different goals. LR is intended for reduction only, whereas DAP is intended for customers to use energy efficiently based on market prices, which could encourage usage during certain times.

1 Military Base Tariff Credit (“MBTC”)

2 Q. **Has the Company updated the MBTC tariff?**

3 A. Yes. The Company has updated the pricing per kWh to be included in the MBTC tariff.  
4 The updated MBTC Credit rate will be (\$0.002328) and the MBTC Surcharge will remain  
5 at the current Commission approved level of \$0.000033. The revisions to this tariff are  
6 included in the Company’s Application Package as a part of Schedule N.  
7

8 Back-up Service (“BUS”)

9 Q. **Please discuss the Company’s proposed updates to its BUS tariff.**

10 A. Currently, the Commission approved BUS tariff fails to account for charges associated  
11 with reactive power when a customer has no active power consumption. Reactive power  
12 is essential as it helps regulate voltage and is part of the overall electrical service that the  
13 Company provides. When a customer taking service under the current BUS rate uses no  
14 active power but only reactive power from the Company, they receive the service for free  
15 because the current tariffs power factor adjustment clause applies adjustments to active  
16 power only. When active power is zero, which means actual metered demand is zero, any  
17 billing demand (which is actual metered demand adjusted by power factor) will still be  
18 zero.

19 The Company’s proposed update to its BUS tariff accounts for the reactive power  
20 service being provided to BUS customers that may use no energy from the Company. The  
21 Company’s proposal will measure a customer’s demand for reactive power at the highest  
22 15-minute kilovolt-ampere reactive (“kVAr”) during the billing period. Excess reactive  
23 demand shall be the customer’s measured demand for reactive power in kVAr in excess of  
24 one-third (1/3) of the customer’s measured actual kW demand in the same billing period.  
25 Any excess reactive demand shall be billed at the rate of \$0.80 per kVAr. The revisions to  
26 this tariff are included in the Company’s Application Package as a part of Schedule N.



**CONCLUSION**

1

2    **Q.     What are your recommendations to the Commission?**

3    **A.**     I respectfully request that the Commission approve the pro forma revenue adjustments to  
4            Schedule H of the Company's Application Package and the modifications to specific tariffs  
5            as discussed in this Testimony.

6

7    **Q.     Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?**

8    **A.**     Yes.