

**BEFORE THE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF OKLAHOMA**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF )  
OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY )  
FOR AN ORDER OF THE COMMISSION )  
AUTHORIZING APPLICANT TO MODIFY ITS )  
RATES, CHARGES, AND TARIFFS FOR RETAIL )  
ELECTRIC SERVICE IN OKLAHOMA )

CAUSE NO. PUD 201700496

**FILED**  
JUN 07 2018

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE - OKC  
CORPORATION COMMISSION  
OF OKLAHOMA

**Amended Rebuttal Testimony of Gwin Cash**

I would like to make the following four amendments to my testimony:

1. The paragraph on page 10 lines 1 through 8 of my Rebuttal Testimony filed on June 5, 2018 is amended to read as follows:

**Q. In April of 2017, did the Company and PUD engage in discussions to clear up the issues which caused PUD to reject the updated DPR tariff?**

**A.** Yes. The Company and PUD engaged in multiple discussions over the course of April 2017 regarding PUD's concerns about LNR issues. In fact, on the morning of April 24, the Company submitted a revised compliance DPR tariff<sup>1</sup>. This second revised tariff added clarification language regarding LNR treatment in future rate cases.

2. The paragraph on page 10 lines 15 through 17 of my Rebuttal Testimony filed on June 5, 2018 is amended to read as follows:

**Q. And how did the Company respond to the Staff's revisions?**

**A.** The Company did not agree with PUD's edits and explained that in an email to the PUD.

3. The paragraph on page 10 lines 19 through 22 of my Rebuttal Testimony filed on June 5, 2018 is amended to read as follows:

---

<sup>1</sup> Rebuttal Exhibit GC-5.3-4.

**Q. What ultimately was the result of the DPR tariff issue in Cause No. PUD 201500273?**

**A.** PUD stamped approved all tariffs except the DPR but allowed existing DPR rates to continue. The previously approved DPR tariff remains in effect.

4. In Rebuttal Exhibit GC-5, pages 5.9 through 5.14 are withdrawn.