

**BEFORE THE  
CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF )  
OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY )  
FOR APPROVAL OF A GENERAL CHANGE IN )  
RATES AND TARIFFS )

CAUSE NO. PUD 200800398

Direct Testimony

of

Bryan J. Scott

on behalf of

Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company

**FILED**

FEB 27 2009

COURT CLERK'S OFFICE — OKC  
CORPORATION COMMISSION  
OF OKLAHOMA

February 27, 2009



1 Louisiana Public Service Commission, and the Public Utility Commission of Texas. I  
2 have also submitted testimony to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

3  
4 **PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

5 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?**

6 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor pro forma revenue adjustments (Schedule H-2  
7 Rev), sponsor the proposed revenue allocation (Exhibit BJS-1), sponsor the proposed  
8 tariffs (Schedule N), and proof of revenue (Schedule M), introduce new tariffs for  
9 customers, and propose changes to the existing retail rates. The new tariffs provide  
10 customers in the SmartPower pilot area (Norman, OK) that have necessary metering  
11 installed the opportunity to respond to new time-differentiated prices. The proposed  
12 changes to the existing retail rates are based upon the revenue allocation which is derived  
13 from the equalized rates of return (ROR) revenue requirements for each class from  
14 OG&E's Cost of Service study filed concurrently in this proceeding. I also sponsor  
15 changes to Terms and Conditions of Service (T&C). A complete set of the proposed tariff  
16 changes is presented as the "red-line" tariffs in Schedule N.

17  
18 **PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS**

19 **Q. Please describe the pro forma adjustments shown on Section H, Schedule H-2 Rev?**

20 A. I sponsor the following list of pro forma revenue adjustments:

- 21 • Adjustment #1 Removal of Unbilled Revenue and Fuel Adjustment Lag;
- 22 • Adjustment SC Re-Establish Special Contracts;
- 23 • Adjustment #2 Removal of Demand Program rider revenue;
- 24 • Adjustment #3 Removal of Annual Public Utility Assessment Fee revenue;
- 25 • Adjustment #4 Annualization of Redbud rider revenue;
- 26 • Adjustment #5 Removal of book Fuel Cost Adjustment rider revenue;
- 27 • Adjustment #6 Removal of Storm Cost Recovery rider revenue;
- 28 • Adjustment RTP Removal of Incremental DAP rider sales and revenue;
- 29 • Adjustment #7 Weather Normalization of sales and revenue;
- 30 • Adjustment #8 Year End Customer Normalization;
- 31 • Adjustment #9 True-up of Fuel Cost Adjustment rider revenue;

- Adjustment #10 Revenue Synchronization Adjustment for Weather Normalization, Military Base Tariff Credit, and Cogeneration Credit Rider; and
- Adjustment #11 Non-Oklahoma jurisdiction adjustments (not at issue).

Q. **Please describe each of these adjustments and their purpose.**

A. These adjustments are necessary to accurately compute costs for customer groups, and thereby, produce fair and reasonable rates. The adjustments also normalize test-year revenue and sales data and therefore provide a stable basis for rate design. For OG&E, the monthly “RevSle” report represents the “book” data for revenue, kWh sales, customer counts, and is the starting point for most of the adjustments listed below.

**Adjustment #1** is comprised of two amounts: the first is the removal of unbilled revenue. a decrease of \$5,225,361; the second is the removal of over or under-recovery of fuel expense, decreasing revenue by \$125,388,821. The total of these amounts is a revenue decrease of \$130,614,182.

**Adjustment SC**, the Special Contract Rate Adjustment removes Special Contract B and C’s customer count, revenues, and kWh sales from certain rate classes in the RevSle and establishes them as separate classes for COS study purposes. In addition, it removes Special Contract A from its special contract and places its customer count, revenue, and kWh sales into the Large Power and Light TOU service level 2 rate categories, at the applicable tariff rates resulting in a revenue increase of \$3,510,745.

**Adjustment #2**, the Demand Program Rider (DPR) adjustment is comprised of two amounts: the first is the decrease of revenue received from the DPR of \$1,274,736, the second adds the lost revenue, from deemed savings, in the amount of \$11,218 and the corresponding kWh of 135,837. For the test year, this resulted in a revenue decrease of \$1,263,518 and a kWh increase of 135,837. There is also a corresponding adjustment to remove DPR related expenses (see Schedule H-2, Adjustment 19). These revenues and expenses are not a part of base rates, and the mechanism for recovering these expenses (which includes a “true-up” computation) is not properly a part of the COS study used to establish base rates.

1        **Adjustment #3** removes the Annual Public Utility Assessment Fee rider revenue  
2 collected from the Oklahoma retail customers. For the test year, this resulted in a revenue  
3 decrease of \$1,215,917.

4        **Adjustment #4** is for Redbud Acquisition Recovery Rider (RARR) annualization. The  
5 RARR recovers the cost of the approved Redbud plant addition, and certain related  
6 expenses, and was approved September 2008. This adjustment decreases test year  
7 revenues by the collected amount of \$1,008,571 and then increases the test year revenues  
8 by the annual revenue amount of \$75,366,039. For the test year, this resulted in a revenue  
9 adjustment of \$74,357,468.

10       **Adjustment #5** is for removal of billed Fuel Cost Adjustment (FCA) revenue. This  
11 adjustment decreases the test year revenues by \$59,844,314. It should be noted that after  
12 the weather and year-end customer normalization adjustments are completed, FCA  
13 revenues are added back and are included for COS study and proof of revenues purposes.

14       **Adjustment #6** is for Storm Cost Recovery Rider (SCRR) removal. The SCRR recovers  
15 the cost of certain storm related expenses and the associated regulatory asset; the rider  
16 also includes a true-up mechanism. Base rate design changes are made exclusive of the  
17 SCRR revenues. This adjustment reduces the test year revenues by \$851,596.

18       **Adjustment RTP** is for the removal of incremental revenue and kWh associated with the  
19 Day-Ahead Pricing tariff. Base rate design changes are made exclusive of incremental  
20 revenue and energy. For the test year, this resulted in a net revenue increase of  
21 \$1,554,310 and a net kWh decrease of 7,298,862.

22       **Adjustment #7** adjusts test year revenues to reflect 30-year normal weather, ending in  
23 the test year. Weather adjustments are necessary since the effects of weather on  
24 electricity usage can cause significant annual revenue swings and cause test year  
25 revenues to differ from the expected revenue outcome of an average year. This  
26 adjustment decreases Oklahoma jurisdictional revenue by \$19,584,435 and decreases  
27 kWh by 240,048,786.

28       **Adjustment #8** is the Year-End Customer adjustment. This adjustment reflects the end  
29 of test year customer count and includes revenues and billing units associated with these  
30 customers. For the Oklahoma jurisdiction, this adjustment reflects the year end customer

1 count of 773,944, increased revenues by \$11,087,083 and increased kWh by  
2 189,529,443.

3 **Adjustment #9** is for Fuel Cost Adjustment true-up. This adjustment re-establishes FCA  
4 revenues to reflect the change in kWh from other adjustments and to reflect the expected  
5 FCA levels for 2009. This adjustment increases the test year revenues by \$167,267,182.

6 **Adjustment #10** synchronizes the proper revenue amounts that should be credited or  
7 collected as a result of applying the Weather Adjustment, Cogen Credit Rider, and  
8 Military Base Tariff Credit, to their respective kWh components. This adjustment results  
9 in a Weather Adjustment Revenue increase of \$708,881, and completes the Weather  
10 Normalization adjustment. It also decreased revenue by \$2,090,032 for the CCR, and  
11 decreased revenue \$130,932 for the MBTC. For the test year, this resulted in a net  
12 revenue decrease of \$1,512,083.

13 **Adjustment #11** incorporates revenue adjustments for non-jurisdiction revenues. This  
14 adjustment does not change Oklahoma jurisdiction revenue; however, it does show the  
15 reduction to book revenues by \$145,138,228 for other jurisdictions (FERC and APSC).

16  
17 SECTION M and TARIFFS

18 Q. **Are you sponsoring Section M, and related workpapers and Section N?**

19 A. Yes, I am.

20  
21 Q. **Please describe these schedules and their purpose.**

22 A. Schedule M and the related work papers document that the proposed price changes  
23 produce the revenue requirement and collect the revenue deficiency for each rate class.  
24 These schedules also provide some information documenting the impact of the changes in  
25 rates to customers. Schedule N, the Proposed Rate Schedules, reflects the requested rates.  
26 The work papers to Section N include a “red-line” set of tariffs that show the changes to  
27 the current tariffs and document the proposed pricing and tariff terms. The proposed  
28 changes to Terms and Conditions of Service are also identified in the “red-line” changes  
29 to tariffs.

1 CHANGES TO TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SERVICE

2 Q. **Does OG&E propose changes to the methods in which customers apply for service**  
3 **or terminate service?**

4 A. Yes. In Section 202, Application For Electric Service and Section 211, Change Of  
5 Occupancy, OG&E proposes to add the option of the customer being able to notify the  
6 Company electronically of requests to connect or disconnect service.

7  
8 Q. **Please describe the Company's proposal to offer customers the option of**  
9 **"paperless" billing?**

10 A. OG&E proposes changes to Section 209, Billing For Electric Service, where the  
11 Company may deliver a bill for service to an electronic address designated by the  
12 customer, at the customer's request.

13  
14 Q. **Please describe changes required to accommodate prepaid electric service.**

15 A. Prepaid electric service offers customers in eligible service locations the ability to prepay  
16 for electric service and forego making a deposit. Customers are provided with a meter  
17 (and other technology) that will disconnect service if the prepaid amount drops to zero.  
18 Customers can re-activate electric service by adding funds to their account. The proposed  
19 addition, Section 220, also recognizes that these prepay customers are not required to pay  
20 a deposit. The customer's standard rate will apply and will be prorated to a daily basis as  
21 required. No reconnect or disconnect fees will apply.

22  
23 Q. **Are there any proposed changes to Service Charges in support of remote**  
24 **connect/disconnect capabilities?**

25 A. Yes, there are. In Section 206, Method Of Supplying Electric Service, the following  
26 service charge changes have been made only for charges applicable in areas where  
27 remote connect/disconnect is operational and the premise has the enabling technology  
28 installed: discontinuance of the charge of \$.50 for each rental unit under the Leave-on  
29 Agreement; discontinuance of the \$7.00 Disconnect Charge under the Leave-on  
30 Agreement; clarification that the \$25.00 Service Initiation Fee applies if the owner/agent  
31 requests a service initiation under the Leave-on Agreement. Because these fee changes

1 apply only where remote connect/disconnect services will be available, no revenue  
2 adjustment has been made.

3  
4 **Q. Please describe other changes required to accommodate remote connect/disconnect  
5 service.**

6 A. Section 206 has been modified to permit remote connection of service and remote  
7 disconnection of service. Under the current Terms, OG&E is required to leave a “door-  
8 hanger” notice when disconnecting electric service. The proposed change allows OG&E  
9 to remotely disconnect service and not send an employee to leave a paper copy of the  
10 notice at the premise.

11  
12 **Q. Are there any proposed changes to the Average Monthly Billing (AMB) Payment  
13 Plan?**

14 A. Yes, the Company has added the option of waiving the 12 month waiting period to  
15 become eligible for reinstatement if the customer has been removed from the AMB plan  
16 due to late payment.

17  
18 **Q. What other changes have been made to the Terms & Conditions?**

19 A. We have added the Company’s Deposit Plan in Section 208, Deposits as Security for  
20 Payment of Bills.

21  
22 **Q. Are there any new additions to the Terms & Conditions?**

23 A. Yes, we have added the following: Request by Customers to Perform Work on Customer  
24 Owned Facilities; Facilities Rental Service and Agreement; Performance Guaranty  
25 Agreement.

26  
27 **Q. Why has OG&E proposed to perform work on customer owned facilities?**

28 A. Currently, no provisions exist to perform work for customers on customer owned  
29 facilities. This would allow OG&E to perform emergency type work on facilities owned  
30 by the customer in the event the customer could not find a third party to do this work.

1 Q. **Why does OG&E need a facilities rental service agreement?**

2 A. Occasionally, a primary metered customer will request that OG&E rent them equipment  
3 due to a failure of their own equipment. This rental agreement will help facilitate that  
4 customer's request.

5  
6 Q. **What is the purpose of a performance Guaranty agreement?**

7 A. The purpose of a performance Guaranty agreement is to ensure the Company recovers its  
8 investment for infrastructure additions and/or improvements when the customer's  
9 projected load does not materialize and it is unlikely that the facilities would be required  
10 by another customer within five years of the system expansion. This provision is added as  
11 Section 411.

12  
13 Q. **Please describe the changes to Part IV-Standard Extension Policy of the T&C.**

14 A. Section 401 of the Standard Extension Policy now states that a basic philosophy of the  
15 Company is to provide the best possible service *and point of delivery of service* to the  
16 customer at the most reasonable investment. All applicable alternatives shall be given  
17 consideration when applying the extension policy.

18  
19 Q. **Please describe the changes to the right-of-way provisions of the T&C.**

20 A. Section 402 of the T&C now has an added paragraph that states: *All customers requesting*  
21 *service from the utility shall comply with all easement guidelines as specified under this*  
22 *section. Failure to meet these guidelines shall, at the utility's sole discretion, relieve the*  
23 *utility of any obligation to provide electric service until such time that compliance is met.*

24  
25 Q. **Are any other changes proposed to the fees contained in the Terms and Conditions?**

26 A. No other changes are proposed to the fees at this time.

27  
28 Q. **What changes are proposed to the Qualifying Facilities Purchase Schedules (QF-1  
29 and QF-2, the Net Metering tariff)?**

30 A. There are several changes proposed to these purchase schedules. Currently, under the  
31 provisions of the Net Metering purchase schedule (QF-2), residential customers with self  
32 generation capability are limited to 25 kW of generation and other customers under this

1 tariff are limited to 100 kW of generation. The proposed purchase schedule, renamed as  
 2 the Net Energy Billing Option (NEBO), allows all customers under this schedule to have  
 3 up to 300 kW of self generation capability and still qualify for net metering service.

4 The purchase prices contained in the QF-1 purchase schedule have also been updated to  
 5 reflect the latest marginal cost projections. In addition, QF-1 and NEBO now require  
 6 customers to receive electric service on a daily time differentiated tariff such as TOU or  
 7 DAP, to accurately reflect the value of the generation provided by the customer's  
 8 facilities.

9  
 10 **CHANGES TO EXISTING RATES**

11 **Q. Please describe the revenue deficiency for each class of customers.**

12 **A.** The Oklahoma jurisdictional total revenue deficiency is \$110,325,000 and is reflected in  
 13 the table below. This deficiency represents a 6.4 % increase in jurisdictional revenues.  
 14 The Revenue Deficiency column, as shown below, is used to calculate the Percent  
 15 Change, shown in the last column. The revenue and percentage increases for OG&E's  
 16 rate classes of service are taken from the proposed revenue allocation, Exhibit BJS-1.

<i>Customer Group (Rate Class)</i>	<i>Revenue Deficiency</i>	<i>Percent Change</i>
<i>Residential</i>	\$56,350,000	7.7 %
<i>General Service</i>	\$1,403,000	0.9 %
<i>Oil/Gas Producers</i>	(\$417,000)	(3.1 %)
<i>Public Schools (Non Demand)</i>	\$0	0 %
<i>Public Schools (Demand)</i>	\$0	0 %
<i>Power &amp; Light</i>	\$17,800,000	6.4 %
<i>Power &amp; Light TOU</i>	\$7,860,000	4.3 %
<i>Large Power &amp; Light TOU</i>	\$25,296,000	8.4 %
<i>Municipal Pumping</i>	\$473,000	5.5 %
<i>Municipal Lighting</i>	\$0	0 %
<i>Outdoor Security Lighting</i>	\$1,560,000	10.0 %
<b>Total State Jurisdiction</b>	<b>\$110,325,000</b>	<b>6.4 %</b>

Table 1. Summary of the Revenue Deficiency

1 Q. **Please describe the Company’s goal for rate design?**

2 A. The Company proposes that customer class rates of return be moved toward equalized  
3 levels so that all customer classes return their allocated share of costs. The allocation of  
4 the revenue deficiency reflected in the table above, represents a step toward that goal.  
5 Exhibit BJS-1 depicts the rates of return that result from the proposed revenue increase  
6 allocation. The proposed rate design will move towards pricing that supports efficiency  
7 and peak reductions. “Special rates” will now be based on time-differentiated pricing and  
8 will link to efficiency.

9  
10 Residential Rate Design

11 Q. **In general, please describe the changes to the tariffs available to residential  
12 customers.**

13 A. I propose changes to the peak season prices and the customer charge in the residential  
14 tariff to recover the revenue deficiency. The table below shows the proposed prices and  
15 the current prices. I also propose the addition of a Variable Peak Pricing tariff for  
16 residential customers (described later in testimony), increase the LIHEAP rider discount,  
17 and offer a senior citizen’s discount within the R-TOU tariff. No changes are proposed to  
18 the Guaranteed Flat Bill program.

<i>RS Monthly Prices</i>	<i>Proposed</i>	<i>Current</i>
<i>Monthly Customer Charge</i>	\$13.00	\$6.50
<b><i>Summer Season</i></b>	<b><i>June-Sept</i></b>	<b><i>June-Sept</i></b>
<i>0-1,400 kWh</i>	8.4¢ per kWh	8.55¢ per kWh
<i>Over 1,400 kWh</i>	11.1¢ per kWh	8.68¢ per kWh
<b><i>Shoulder Season</i></b>	<b><i>May, Oct</i></b>	<b><i>May, Oct</i></b>
<i>0-600 kWh</i>	8.4¢ per kWh	8.52¢ per kWh
<i>Over 600 kWh</i>	8.4¢ per kWh	6.12¢ per kWh
<b><i>Winter Season</i></b>	<b><i>Nov-Apr</i></b>	<b><i>Nov-Apr</i></b>
<i>0-600 kWh</i>	8.4¢ per kWh	8.52¢ per kWh
<i>Over 600 kWh</i>	4.7¢ per kWh	4.77¢ per kWh

Table 2. Comparison of Residential Prices

1 Q. **What is the allocated revenue deficiency of the Residential class (RS)?**

2 A. The RS revenue deficiency, shown in Table 1, is \$56,350,000 or a 7.7% increase. I  
3 propose changes to the residential price in three specific areas: the customer charge will  
4 be increased, the summer season kWh price for the tail block will be increased, and the  
5 initial kWh block price for all seasons will be decreased slightly. The shoulder season  
6 prices were equalized by removing the declining block structure.

7  
8 Q. **What is the impact of these changes to residential customers?**

9 A. The impact of these changes is shown in the table<sup>1</sup> below.

Customer Sub-Group	Number of Customers	Annual kWh per Customer	\$ per Customer per Month Change	Annual % Change
Lower Income (LIHEAP)	15,653	14,375	\$1.54	1.5%
Peak Users	18,059	7,786	\$7.84	11.9%
Off Peak Users	62,641	16,627	\$5.99	5.4%
Larger Users	1,308	83,773	\$64.96	12.4%
Zero Users	3,064	0	\$6.50	100.0%
<b>RS-1</b>	<b>430,182</b>	<b>14,035</b>	<b>\$8.43</b>	<b>8.1%</b>

10 **Table 3. Residential Customer Sub-Group Impacts**

11 Q. **How did you determine the impact of these changes to customers?**

12 A. I determined the impact by computing the bills for all customers with 12 months of data  
13 under the current prices and then the proposed prices, and then computing the change in  
14 billing. Specifically, to determine the impact to customers, OG&E first extracted the  
15 customer data for the test year from the billing system for all residential customers. Next,  
16 I excluded customers without a complete year of data. The result was a database of  
17 approximately 430,000 RS-1 customers.<sup>2</sup> The final step was to identify sub-groups of  
18 customers to ascertain the impact of price changes to them.

19 The first sub-group is customers who received a LIHEAP payment (lower income  
20 customers). The next sub-groups were comprised of customers who predominantly use

<sup>1</sup> Note that the sub-groups are not mutually exclusive or contain only a subset of customers, so they cannot be added together to equate to Total Residential.

<sup>2</sup> Note that this database was used solely for the purpose of evaluating the impact of price changes to customers. The proposed rate design and proof of revenue were developed using all customer data and all pro forma adjustments as is shown in Schedule H-2 Rev.

1 electricity during the peak (summer) season and then customers who predominantly use  
2 electricity during the off peak (winter) season. The next sub-groups were larger users and  
3 zero users. Larger users were customers who use more than 60,000 kWh annually. Zero  
4 users are customers who have electric service connected, but used absolutely no energy  
5 for the entire year. The last group is the total for RS-1 customers.  
6

7 **Q. Please discuss the impact of the proposed changes to customers who received**  
8 **assistance from the federal LIHEAP program?**

9 A. These lower income customers receive, on average, an increase of 1.5% or \$1.54 per  
10 month, while the RS-1 residential customers receive an increase of 8.1% or \$8.43 per  
11 month. The proposed price changes do not unduly impact OG&E's lower income  
12 customers. The lower income customers' average annual usage is 14,375 kWh, while the  
13 residential customers' average annual usage is 14,035 kWh. OG&E's lower income  
14 customers use slightly larger amounts of energy than the average OG&E residential  
15 customer. OG&E's residential customer data refutes the conventional assumption that  
16 lower income customers are also lower usage customers.  
17

18 **Q. How do the proposed changes affect customers identified as peak users?**

19 A. Customers identified as peak users receive, on average, an increase of 11.9% or \$7.84 per  
20 month. These customers predominantly use energy during the higher cost and higher load  
21 months. The current peak season price differential of 0.13¢ per kWh between the initial  
22 block and the tail block appears to offer a minimal price signal to reflect the increasing  
23 cost of capacity. The proposed peak season price changes will encourage residential  
24 customers to consider conservation initiatives and time-differentiated pricing such as that  
25 proposed by OG&E in this docket. In this docket, OG&E proposes to adjust its peak  
26 season pricing to more appropriately reflect the cost of peak season consumption.  
27

28 **Q. How do the proposed changes affect customers identified as larger users?**

29 A. Customers identified as larger users receive, on average, an increase of 12.4% or \$64.94  
30 per month. These customers use an average of 83,773 kWh annually or 596% of the  
31 typical residential customer annual usage.

Residential Rate Design Detail

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

**Q. What changes does OG&E propose to the customer charge?**

A. OG&E proposes to increase the customer charge for RS customers from \$6.50 per month to \$13.00 per month. The monthly cost per customer from the “customer” classification cost of service study for RS is \$21.76. The COS study indicates a meaningful increase to the residential customer charge is required. The Commission recently authorized a \$14.95 customer charge for Public Service Company of Oklahoma. Accordingly, I propose that the price be increased to \$13.00 per month as a step towards a cost based customer charge.

**Q. Does OG&E propose any changes to the kWh block structures for the RS tariff?**

A. OG&E proposes to eliminate the 0-600 kWh step in the Shoulder season. All Shoulder season kWh will be priced at 8.4¢ per kWh. No other block changes are proposed.

**Q. What other changes does the Company propose to the energy prices?**

A. OG&E proposes a decrease of \$0.0015 per kWh to the initial block price for the peak season (usage billed during the months of June through September) and proposes an increase of \$0.0242 per kWh for all usage above 1,400 kWh. Inverted block pricing indicates to customers that during certain times of the year, electricity does cost more, and that additional usage during those periods increases the need to add generating capacity. OG&E proposes a minor decrease, \$0.0012 per kWh, to the initial block price for the off peak season (November through April) and a decrease of \$0.0007 per kWh to the price for additional usage. These adjustments somewhat offset the increased customer charge.

**Q. What changes does OG&E propose to the LIHEAP rider?**

A. OG&E proposes to increase the discount for residential customers who qualify for the LIHEAP rider. Currently customers receive a waiver of the customer charge during the summer season, receive a discount of .67¢ per kWh for winter tail step usage and no discount during the shoulder season. OG&E proposes to replace that discount structure with one that provides for a fixed \$10 per month reduction to the customer charge for all months. The change results in an increase to their discount from approximately \$50 per

1 year to \$120 per year. The LIHEAP rider has been renamed as the Low Income  
2 Assistance Program (LIAP) rider to avoid confusion with the federal assistance program  
3 named LIHEAP.  
4

5 **Q. What changes does OG&E propose to the Residential Time of Use (RTOU) tariff?**

6 A. OG&E proposes to increase the customer charge for RTOU customers from \$6.50 per  
7 month to \$13.00 per month, following the change to the standard residential rate.  
8 However, OG&E proposes to eliminate the separate TOU meter charge of \$6 per month  
9 that applies during the peak season. OG&E also proposes to reduce the peak period by 1  
10 hour. Currently the peak period is defined as June through September, Monday through  
11 Friday, 1:00 pm to 7:00 pm. The proposed peak period is defined as June through  
12 September, Monday through Friday, 2:00 pm to 7:00 pm. By eliminating the TOU meter  
13 charge and reducing the peak period, OG&E is working to reduce the barriers that  
14 discourage customers from taking advantage of time differentiated pricing. The Company  
15 believes these are reasonable steps towards that goal.  
16

17 **Q. How will the time period change be implemented?**

18 A. Each existing TOU meter will need to be reprogrammed with the new peak period  
19 definition. This will take some time, but OG&E commits to having the change completed  
20 before the 2010 peak season. OG&E requests that the approval of the peak period  
21 definition change by the Commission should authorize the application of the current peak  
22 period definition for any remaining peak period that may be in effect during 2009 after  
23 the final rates are approved. Note that the "best bill" provision, discussed later in  
24 testimony, protects customers from any adverse effects while the Company is re-  
25 programming the meters.  
26

27 **Q. What other changes does OG&E propose to the RTOU tariff?**

28 A. OG&E proposes to slightly modify the "best bill" provision. Currently the provision is a  
29 separate calculation for each month. The modification is to change the calculation to an  
30 annual basis and to provide the provision only for the initial year of subscription. The  
31 provision will not apply to a customer's account in subsequent years. All current  
32 subscribers will receive the "best bill" provision for one more year.

1 OG&E also proposes to provide within the RTOU tariff a senior citizen's discount, by  
2 reducing the RTOU customer charge by \$5 per month for residential customers in cases  
3 where the account holder is age 65 or older.

4 Finally, OG&E proposes to change the amount of fuel cost embedded in the kWh prices.  
5 This change will be discussed in detail later in my testimony.

6  
7 **SMALL COMMERCIAL TARIFFS**

8 General Service Rate Design

9 **Q. In general, please describe the proposed changes to the tariffs available to the**  
10 **General Service (GS) customers.**

11 **A.** OG&E proposes changes to the peak season prices and the customer charge in the tariff  
12 to recover the revenue deficiency assigned to this customer class. The table below shows  
13 the proposed prices and the current prices. The Company also proposes the addition of a  
14 Variable Peak Pricing (VPP) tariff available to GS customers (described later in  
15 testimony), as well as other smaller commercial customers.

<i>GS Monthly Prices</i>	<i>Proposed</i>	<i>Current</i>
<i>Monthly Customer Charge</i>	\$30.00	\$12.00
<i>Peak Season</i>	June-Oct	June-Oct
<i>All kWh</i>	9.85¢ per kWh	10.68¢ per kWh
<i>Off Peak Season</i>	Nov-May	Nov-May
<i>0 to 1,000 kWh</i>	9.1¢ per kWh	9.65¢ per kWh
<i>Over 1,000 kWh</i>	5.0¢ per kWh	5.81¢ per kWh

16 **Table 4. Comparison of Current and Proposed General Service Prices**

17 **Q. What is the revenue deficiency for the GS customer class?**

18 **A.** The revenue deficiency assigned to the GS class is \$1,403,000 which is a 0.9% increase.  
19 OG&E proposes changes to the GS price in three specific areas: the customer charge will  
20 be increased, the peak season kWh price will be decreased 0.83¢ per kWh, and both kWh  
21 block prices for the off peak season will be decreased slightly. The customer charge was  
22 increased to more closely align with the COS study amount of \$36.54 per month. The  
23 other changes were made to adjust to the remaining revenue requirement.

1 Q. **What is the impact of these changes to GS SL-5 customers?**

2 A. The average GS SL-5 customer uses 19,137 kWh annually and would receive a monthly  
3 bill increase of \$4.18 or 2.5%.

Customer Sub-Group	Number of Customers	Annual kWh per Customer	\$ per Customer per Month Change	Annual % Change
Zero Users	1,667	0	\$18.00	150.0%
Larger Users	2,121	174,409	(\$114.10)	(8.5%)
Peak Users	7,290	13,567	\$8.08	6.0%
Off Peak Users	7,701	8,215	\$12.36	16.8%
<b>Total GS SL5</b>	<b>65,267</b>	<b>19,137</b>	<b>\$4.18</b>	<b>2.5%</b>

Table 5. Residential Customer Sub-Group Impacts

4

5 Q. **What changes does OG&E propose to the GS TOU tariff?**

6 A. OG&E proposes similar changes to GSTOU as were proposed for the RTOU tariff. The  
7 customer charge was increased, the TOU meter charge was eliminated, the peak period  
8 definition was standardized, the embedded fuel expense was adjusted, and the “best bill”  
9 provision was modified.

10

11 Other Small Commercial Tariff Rate Design

12 Q. **What changes does OG&E propose to the Oil and Gas Producers (OGP) non-**  
13 **demand tariffs?**

14 A. OG&E proposes an overall revenue reduction for the OGP non-demand tariffs. The OGP  
15 Levelized tariff has been converted to a seasonal tariff and combined with the standard  
16 OGP tariff. The OGP Levelized tariff was a non-seasonal tariff with pricing the same for  
17 each month. The renewed emphasis on accurate price signals encourages the transition  
18 towards tariffs that support cost based pricing. Therefore, OGP Levelized was modified.  
19 The OGP ND TOU tariff was adjusted in the same manner as GSTOU.

20

21 Q. **What changes does OG&E propose to the Public Schools-Non Demand (PS-ND)**  
22 **tariffs?**

23 A. OG&E proposes no increase to the overall revenue level for these tariffs. However, prices  
24 were adjusted to better align with costs. The resulting proposed PS-ND tariff prices are

1 higher than the proposed GS tariff prices, so the proposed PS-ND tariff should be  
2 withdrawn. The PS-ND TOU and PS-ND TOU-C tariffs have been combined into a  
3 single TOU offering. The peak period definition has been standardized from 2pm-8pm  
4 and 3pm-7pm, respectively, to 3pm-7pm. To avoid increases for customers, the peak  
5 period price for the PS-ND TOU-C tariff was reduced to 30¢ per kWh, similar to the  
6 current PS-ND TOU peak period price. The revised PS-ND TOU tariff has other changes  
7 similar to GSTOU.  
8

9 **Q. What changes are proposed to the Municipal Pumping (MP) class?**

10 A. The MP assigned revenue deficiency is \$473,000 which results in an increase of 5.5%.  
11 OG&E proposes to increase the customer charge to \$30.00 per month. The proposed  
12 summer kWh price is 7.2¢ per kWh and the proposed winter price is 5¢ per kWh. Prices  
13 were adjusted to better align with costs.  
14

15 **LARGE COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL TARIFFS**

16 **Large Power & Light Time of Use (LPL-TOU) Rate Design**

17 **Q. Please describe the changes to the LPL tariffs.**

18 A. First, the Company proposes to withdraw the LPL Levelized tariff, as no customers are  
19 currently subscribed or have recently subscribed to this tariff. The LPL Levelized tariff is  
20 not consistent with OG&E's renewed focus on peak season pricing; therefore, it has been  
21 withdrawn.

22 Next, OG&E proposes to change the LPL-TOU structure from that of a demand-based  
23 TOU rate to an energy-based TOU rate, so that the peak period demand charge was  
24 eliminated.

25 OG&E proposes 2.6¢ per kWh embedded fuel for all off-peak energy prices and 8.0¢ per  
26 kWh for all peak period energy prices. Note that the composite price for all TOU  
27 embedded fuel costs is 2.9¢ per kWh, the same amount as is in all other tariffs. Therefore  
28 the same FCA factor can be used with all TOU usage and a separate FCA factor by time  
29 period is not required. This change is an initial step towards the request by OG&E's  
30 larger industrial customers for hourly fuel charges. Currently, hourly pricing  
31 incorporating hourly fuel costs is available through OG&E's DAP tariff. This change is

1 also discussed later in this testimony.

2 Next, the power factor will be increased from 80% to 90%. To provide affected  
 3 customers the opportunity to correct their power factor, OG&E proposes to wait one year  
 4 after final approval of the proposed tariffs before implementing this provision.

5 The next change is the integration of the existing interruptible and curtailable service  
 6 tariffs (PACE, IR, and CR) into a single cohesive program, the proposed Load Reduction  
 7 rider.

8 Finally, OG&E also proposes minor changes to the Day Ahead Pricing (DAP) tariff for  
 9 these customers. DAP is sometimes referred to as real-time pricing or RTP.

10  
 11 Q. What is the requested increase to the LPL-TOU class?

12 A. The LPL-TOU requested increase is \$25,296,000 or an 8.4% increase. The impact to  
 13 customers is shown in the table below.

LPL-TOU SL2										
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
										\$3,772,662 10.99%
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
									\$812,689 9.04%	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
									\$871,226 10.97%	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
						\$251,422 6.07%				
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
										\$764,967 10.16%
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
							\$347,437 7.64%			\$507,191 8.01%
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
			\$168,119 12.07%					\$260,859 7.17%	\$331,378 7.47%	\$489,690 9.18%
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
								\$331,454 8.92%		
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2
						\$134,347 5.90%	\$248,955 4.86%			\$471,291 7.30%
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	2
						\$52,220 4.10%	\$145,750 3.91%	\$114,141 5.63%		\$392,614 7.27%
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	2	0
							\$160,024 3.08%	\$206,441 4.85%	\$141,109 4.66%	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 6. LPL-TOU SL2 Customer Impacts (maximum demand) and load factor. Each segment shows the n

1 This table segments customers by size (maximum demand) and load factor. Each  
2 segment shows the number of customers within that segment, the revenue change and the  
3 percent change.  
4

5 **Q. What other changes are proposed to the LPL-TOU tariff?**

6 A. The power factor requirement has been increased from 80% to 90%. This change has also  
7 been made to the PL and PL-TOU tariffs. The purpose of this change is to encourage  
8 customers to improve their power factor and reduce the demand on the system.  
9

10 **Q. Why is power factor improvement important?**

11 A. Improvements to PF on the OG&E system will reduce energy losses on the system and  
12 lower customer's generation requirements (kVA). Energy losses result in costs to all  
13 customers. While energy losses cannot be totally eliminated, the closer the power factor  
14 relationship between real power (expressed in kW) and apparent power (expressed in  
15 kVA) is to 1.0 or 100%, the higher the efficiency of the system, the lower the energy  
16 losses, and the lower the demand on the system. The formula is generally expressed as  
17 follows:

$$18 \quad \text{Power Factor} = kW \div kVA$$

19 where 100% or 1.0 is the ideal relationship.  
20

21 **Q. What is the PF requirement in current tariffs?**

22 A. The current threshold for PF adjustment is 80%. The new tariff changes the PF  
23 requirement to 90%. Customers would need to maintain an average monthly PF of at  
24 least 90% or their billing demand will be increased.  
25

26 **Q. Will all customers be required to meet the new PF threshold of 90%?**

27 A. No. Only customers that are on demand rates (LPL-TOU, PL, PL-TOU). OG&E will  
28 require kVAr metering (metering equipment necessary to measure PF) on all LPL-TOU,  
29 PL and PL-TOU customers with a maximum demand of 300 kW or more and will, at its  
30 discretion, install kVAr metering on any customer below that level when it believes the  
31 customer has loads such that the 90% PF threshold is not maintained.

1 Q. **When will the change in PF requirements become effective?**

2 A. The change will become effective one year after the rates are approved by this  
3 Commission. This delay will allow customers who currently do not maintain a 90% PF  
4 the time to install the equipment necessary to correct their PF to avoid additional billing.

5  
6 Q. **Was the potential revenue that would result from the change in PF requirements  
7 included as part of the proposed revenues in Schedule M?**

8 A. No. The potential additional revenue was not included, since customers can make  
9 investments in equipment to correct their PF and OG&E would not receive any additional  
10 revenue. OG&E proposes this change in PF requirements to encourage customers to use  
11 electricity efficiently. If customers correct their PF, their billing demand will be reduced,  
12 the kVA demand on the OG&E system will be reduced, and all customers will benefit.

13  
14 Power & Light (PL) Rate Design

15 Q. **What is the revenue deficiency of the PL class?**

16 A. The Power & Light assigned revenue deficiency is \$17,800,000 or a 6.4% increase.

17  
18 Q. **Please describe the changes to the PL tariffs.**

19 A. First, the Company proposes to withdraw the PL Levelized tariff, as no customers are  
20 currently subscribed or have recently subscribed to this tariff. The PL Levelized tariff is  
21 not consistent with OG&E's renewed focus on peak season pricing; therefore, it has been  
22 withdrawn.

23 Next, for the PL tariff, the Company proposes to change the energy prices to eliminate  
24 the declining block structure and to increase the demand charges to recover the revenue  
25 deficiency. For example, the PL SL5 energy charge increased from: 4.16¢ per kWh for  
26 the first 1,000,000 kWh and 3.84¢ per kWh for all additional kWh; to: 4.6¢ per kWh for  
27 all kWh. The customer charge for PL SL5 was increased from \$73 per month to \$75 per  
28 month; the customer charges for all other service levels were not changed.

29 OG&E also proposes to increase the power factor (PF) adjustment charge from 80% to  
30 90%. The PF change will become effective one year after the rates are approved by this  
31 Commission. This delay will allow customers who currently do not maintain a 90% PF  
32 the time to install the equipment necessary to correct their PF to avoid additional billing.

1 Q. What is the impact of these changes to PL SL5 customers?

2 A. The impact of these changes to PL SL5 customers is shown in the table below. The table  
 3 segments customers by size (maximum demand) and load factor. Each segment shows  
 4 the number of customers within that segment, the revenue change and the percent change.  
 5 Service Level 5 has the largest number of customers, kWh, and revenue of the PL rates.

PL-1 SL5										
	0	1	0	3	2	3	1	4	0	1
		\$7,469 9.08%		\$63,078 8.89%	\$34,866 7.05%	\$82,726 7.28%	\$23,059 6.26%	\$164,505 6.24%		\$30,687 5.28%
	0	0	1 \$16,352 10.02%	1 \$17,286 8.77%	1 \$19,450 8.12%	0	4 \$79,042 6.45%	0	0	0
	0	0	0	1 \$16,932 10.11%	2 \$31,449 7.98%	1 \$18,726 6.33%	5 \$95,430 6.30%	1 \$18,881 6.02%	1 \$21,573 5.91%	0
	0	1 \$10,886 14.17%	2 \$19,459 9.75%	0	1 \$14,326 7.33%	2 \$34,198 7.20%	6 \$106,505 6.73%	3 \$54,560 6.24%	2 \$38,829 5.63%	0
	0	0	0	0	3 \$38,768 7.53%	5 \$73,324 7.16%	8 \$117,921 6.39%	3 \$44,734 5.95%	1 \$14,575 5.53%	0
	0	0	0	4 \$33,841 8.23%	5 \$53,975 7.10%	8 \$98,735 7.00%	8 \$99,576 6.42%	7 \$98,857 6.13%	1 \$15,289 5.46%	0
	0	1 -\$5,787 -31.66%	5 \$45,199 10.78%	3 \$21,299 8.52%	6 \$57,631 7.73%	11 \$109,928 7.10%	10 \$109,013 6.32%	10 \$118,941 5.98%	2 \$25,729 5.74%	0
	0	1 \$7,753 13.87%	4 \$19,276 9.10%	9 \$59,100 8.40%	12 \$93,228 7.49%	23 \$192,228 6.95%	16 \$141,418 6.46%	18 \$172,190 5.97%	4 \$41,778 5.71%	2 \$21,099 5.56%
	0	0	3 \$15,318 10.54%	21 \$122,679 9.06%	35 \$204,364 7.65%	43 \$272,903 6.96%	26 \$176,126 6.43%	15 \$103,865 5.92%	5 \$39,354 5.73%	2 \$16,218 5.30%
	0	0	4 \$11,044 8.69%	48 \$174,268 8.24%	88 \$366,738 7.64%	122 \$549,840 6.94%	58 \$281,979 6.38%	26 \$137,151 6.01%	4 \$20,451 5.76%	3 \$19,254 5.39%
	0	0	9 \$15,199 9.34%	183 \$396,321 8.29%	390 \$914,103 7.54%	350 \$910,145 6.88%	155 \$440,898 6.45%	69 \$203,204 6.04%	17 \$49,948 5.60%	6 \$19,071 5.37%
	0	4 \$6 0.04%	59 \$30,211 7.67%	1,578 \$1,080,697 8.09%	2,314 \$1,805,221 7.41%	1,490 \$1,278,692 6.77%	734 \$721,701 6.33%	200 \$194,709 5.93%	44 \$34,474 5.58%	29 \$36,083 5.31%

Table 7. PL SL-5 Customer Impacts

6  
 7 Power & Light Time of Use (PL-TOU) Rate Design

8 Q. What is the revenue deficiency of the PL-TOU class?

9 A. The Power & Light TOU assigned revenue deficiency is \$7,860,000 or 4.3%.

10  
 11 Q. Please describe the changes to the PL-TOU tariffs.

12 A. First, OG&E proposes to change the PL-TOU structure from that of a demand-based  
 13 TOU rate to an energy-based TOU rate; the peak period demand charge was also  
 14 eliminated. In addition, OG&E proposes 2.6¢ per kWh embedded fuel for all off-peak  
 15 energy prices and 8.0¢ per kWh for all peak period energy prices. The customer charges  
 16 are the same as proposed for the PL tariff. The TOU meter charge has also been

1 eliminated.

2 Next, the power factor will be increased from 80% to 90%. To provide affected  
 3 customers the opportunity to correct their power factor, OG&E proposes to wait one year  
 4 after final approval of the proposed tariffs before implementing this provision.

5  
 6 **Q. What is the impact of these changes to PL-TOU SL5 customers?**

7 **A.** As an example, the impact of these changes to PL-TOU SL5 customers is shown in the  
 8 table below. The table segments customers by size and load factor. Each segment shows  
 9 the number of customers within that segment, the revenue change and the percent change.  
 10 Service Level 5 has the largest number of customers, kWh, and revenue of the PL-TOU  
 11 rates.

PL-TOU										
0	0	1	1	6	15	15	10	2	0	0
		-\$4,695	-\$30,077	-\$10,787	\$200,184	\$356,869	\$291,015	\$45,875		
		-3.28%	-13.73%	-0.46%	2.97%	4.25%	4.68%	4.00%		
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
					\$13,296	-\$9,627	\$17,821			
					4.28%	-2.68%	4.64%			
0	0	1	0	1	2	4	4	0	0	0
		\$13,996		\$12,224	\$1,504	\$42,288	\$67,076			
		11.56%		5.61%	0.26%	3.39%	4.60%			
0	0	1	0	0	2	4	4	0	0	0
		-\$7,079			\$17,432	\$72,092	\$98,757			
		-5.09%			3.55%	6.27%	7.77%			
0	0	1	1	4	2	3	4	2	0	0
		-\$13,388	\$2,303	\$24,743	\$11,895	\$43,481	\$32,590	\$8,455		
		-12.80%	2.06%	3.56%	2.88%	5.96%	2.70%	1.25%		
0	1	4	1	6	8	3	3	2	0	0
		-\$4,648	-\$38,973	-\$7,419	\$38,935	\$37,022	\$34,637	\$68,019	\$10,393	
		-9.28%	-9.61%	-7.06%	4.12%	2.61%	5.98%	9.58%	2.01%	
0	1	1	4	8	8	6	5	3	1	1
		-\$848	-\$12,435	-\$17,048	-\$440	\$25,626	\$26,290	\$42,168	\$29,384	\$10,651
		-3.06%	-17.62%	-3.80%	-0.04%	1.91%	2.48%	4.05%	4.35%	3.93%
0	0	4	6	10	14	5	12	1	0	0
		-\$16,650	-\$17,885	\$21,732	\$22,232	\$31,198	\$141,274	\$6,802		
		-6.24%	-3.16%	2.05%	1.27%	4.38%	6.85%	3.84%		
0	1	2	5	16	15	11	20	6	0	0
		\$2,287	-\$13,826	-\$604	\$25,758	\$44,127	\$44,196	\$242,426	\$55,225	
		16.74%	-13.68%	-0.18%	1.96%	3.10%	3.52%	9.18%	6.05%	
0	0	0	17	29	19	12	11	6	0	0
			\$9,315	\$32,186	\$32,485	\$29,012	\$43,517	\$52,916		
			1.12%	1.87%	2.50%	2.92%	4.18%	8.07%		
0	0	2	32	54	48	39	18	2	0	0
		-\$2,477	-\$6,152	\$48,622	\$48,189	\$58,898	\$32,975	\$4,598		
		-5.03%	-0.64%	2.44%	2.51%	3.18%	3.43%	3.65%		
0	0	0	77	89	83	68	21	2	2	2
			\$2,554	\$25,471	\$29,750	\$33,351	\$10,050	\$861	\$1,797	
			0.32%	2.06%	2.07%	2.54%	2.44%	2.93%	2.59%	

12 **Table 8. PL-TOU SL5 Customer Impacts**

13 Other Demand Rates (PL-OGP, PS-D, PS-D-TOU, CAAR) Rate Design

14 **Q. What changes are proposed for the PS-D class?**

1 A. The Public Schools Demand class was assigned no increase in revenues, however, the  
2 prices in the tariffs were realigned to better reflect costs. The PS-D structure was changed  
3 to align with the PL tariff structure.

4 The PS-D-TOU and PS-D-TOUC were combined and the tariff structure was aligned  
5 with the PL-TOU tariff structure except that the peak period is defined as June-  
6 September, Monday-Friday, 3pm-7pm, instead of 2pm-7pm.

7

8 **Q. Please describe the changes to the PL-OGP tariff.**

9 A. The PL-OGP tariff was eliminated and the customers transferred to the PL rate.

10

11 **Q. Please describe the changes to the Chain Account Aggregation Rider (CAAR).**

12 A. The CAAR was eliminated. The basis for the CAAR discount was the difference between  
13 the initial kWh block price and the final kWh block price in the PL rate. The declining  
14 block structure of the PL rate has been eliminated to more closely reflect costs and peak  
15 period pricing, so the basis for the discount no longer exists.

16

17 **Q. Please describe the changes to the Maintenance Service (MS), Back-Up Service  
18 (BUS), and the companion Interruptible Standby (IS) tariffs.**

19 A. The MS and BUS prices were revised to align with the PL tariff. The IS curtailment  
20 hours were changed from 100 hours to 120 hours. No other changes were made.  
21 Currently, no customers are subscribed to any of these services.

22

### 23 LM and OSL Rate Design

24 **Q. Please discuss the proposed rate design for these classes.**

25 A. The assigned deficiency for the Outdoor Security Lighting (OSL) class is \$1,560,000  
26 which is an increase of 10%. No increase was assigned to the Municipal Lighting (LM)  
27 class. The individual light fixture and lighting pole prices were moved towards current  
28 installation costs in the OSL tariff. Likewise, the same strategy was used with LM prices.  
29 Prices for LM and OSL are shown in the proposed tariffs.

1 Rider Changes

2 Q. **Please describe the changes to the Redbud Acquisition Recovery Rider (RARR) and**  
3 **the Centennial Wind Energy Project (CWEP) rider.**

4 A. Each of these riders has been eliminated and the associated revenue requirements have  
5 been incorporated into base rates.

6 Q. **Please describe the changes to the Cogen Credit Rider (CCR).**

7 A. The current CCR amounts from the test year have been incorporated into base rates and  
8 the proposed rider prices reset to \$0.00 per kWh and \$0.00 per kW.

9  
10 Q. **Please describe the changes to the Security Rider (SR).**

11 A. The current SR amounts from the test year have been incorporated into base rates and the  
12 proposed amount reset to \$0.00 per kWh. At the time this testimony was prepared, a  
13 Cause<sup>3</sup> was pending before the Commission to authorize new amounts into the Security  
14 Rider recovery. Adjustments to the final approved SR rider will be required to account  
15 for any changes authorized by the Commission in that Cause.

16  
17 Q. **Are any changes proposed to the Fuel Cost Adjustment (FCA) rider?**

18 A. The embedded fuel amount of 2.9¢ per kWh has been removed to reflect the TOU  
19 embedded fuel changes and the reference to the discontinued Week Ahead Pricing  
20 program has also been removed. No other changes are proposed.

21  
22 Q. **Are any changes proposed to any of the remaining riders?**

23 A. No. No changes are proposed to the RTSA, MBTC, GPWR, REP, SCRR, DPR, EIC,  
24 APUAF, and OSSE riders.

25  
26 **NEW PROPOSED TARIFFS**

27 Q. **Please describe the new tariffs proposed for customers.**

28 A. OG&E proposes to offer two Variable Peak Pricing (VPP) tariffs and a Load Reduction  
29 (LR) tariff. The proposed VPP tariffs will be offered to Residential, General Service,  
30 Public Schools Non-Demand, and Oil & Gas Producers Non-Demand customer classes.

---

<sup>3</sup> Cause No. PUD 200900009.

1 The LR tariff will be offered to customers with maximum peak period demands greater  
2 than 200 kW.

3  
4 **Q. Why is OG&E seeking approval for these proposed programs?**

5 A. The proposed Variable Peak Pricing programs hold substantial promise for providing  
6 OG&E with additional price-responsive loads that could contribute to meeting its overall  
7 resource needs. Like TOU, these programs are designed to motivate subscribers to shift  
8 loads through time-differentiated prices. The VPP programs provide more targeted load  
9 reduction by sending prices that reflect expected system conditions on a daily basis.

10 The new Load Reduction rider integrates the current curtailment and interruptible  
11 programs. The new program is available to a larger group of customers, provides rewards  
12 that more closely match the costs incurred by OG&E system resources, and rewards  
13 customers for both the commitment and performance during events.

14  
15 Variable Peak Pricing (RVPP and GSVPP)

16 **Q. What is Variable Peak Pricing?**

17 A. VPP is a form of Day-Ahead Pricing in which the prices for the off-peak period are fixed  
18 each day and the price for the on-peak period varies each day based on the day-ahead  
19 expected system conditions. Variable peak pricing is intended to combine the  
20 effectiveness of a day-ahead pricing program with the simplicity of a fixed TOU price  
21 schedule. VPP is a pilot offering being proposed to support OG&E's SmartPower pilot  
22 program. The SmartPower pilot will provide insight into the value of time-differentiated  
23 pricing programs. OG&E proposes two tariffs for VPP – a Residential VPP tariff  
24 applicable to residential customers and a General Service VPP tariff, applicable to  
25 General Service, Public Schools Non-Demand and Oil & Gas Producers Non-Demand  
26 customers.

27  
28 **Q. What are the qualifications associated with the VPP?**

29 A. The customer must be included in the SmartPower pilot program. The total customer  
30 count on these programs will be limited by the availability of the equipment and OG&E's  
31 sample selection for the SmartPower pilot.

1 Q. **Please explain how the VPP tariffs were designed.**

2 A. The VPP is designed using the existing Residential and General Service TOU rates as the  
3 respective base rate for the VPP tariffs. The peak period price in the TOU rate is  
4 replaced with a variable price signal sent to participating customers. A single price will  
5 apply to the entire five-hour window each day. There are four defined price levels – Low,  
6 Standard, High and Critical – to simplify communications of price level. The prices  
7 assigned to each price level are based on the underlying Standard and TOU tariffs. Low  
8 prices equate to the Off-peak energy prices, Standard to the standard tariff summer  
9 season tail-block price and High prices reflect the peak period energy price. The price  
10 levels are shown in the following table.

<i>Price Level</i>	<i>R-VPP Price</i>	<i>GS-VPP Price</i>
<i>Low</i>	4.5¢ per kWh	5.0¢ per kWh
<i>Standard</i>	11.1¢ per kWh	10.0¢ per kWh
<i>High</i>	23.0¢ per kWh	30.0¢ per kWh
<i>Critical</i>	46.0¢ per kWh	60.0¢ per kWh

Table 9. VPP Prices for RS and GS Customers

11 Q. **How is the price level selected for the peak period?**

12 A. OG&E uses the Day Ahead Pricing calculated within the DAP tariff to set the prices each  
13 day. A simple average of the peak period hours (2pm-7pm) prices will be used to select  
14 the Price Level.

<i>Average DAP Price Range</i>	<i>VPP Price Level</i>
$DAP_{AVG} \leq 8.5¢$	Low
$8.5¢ < DAP_{AVG} \leq 19.5¢$	Standard
$19.5¢ < DAP_{AVG} \leq 31.5¢$	High
$31.5¢ < DAP_{AVG}$	Critical

Table 10. Determination of VPP Price Levels

15

16 Q. **Are there other components of the VPP tariff?**

17 A. Yes, there is a price overcall provision and best bill provision. The price overcall  
18 provision acts as a system resource in conditions where OG&E requires a reduction in  
19 total system load. With no less than two hours notice, a price overcall can be issued to

1 raise the price level to the critical price. A price overcall may occur at any time during  
2 the year. The price overcall time period will not be less than two hours nor will it exceed  
3 8 hours in length.

4 The “best bill” provision provides additional price security to customers choosing the  
5 VPP rate. After the initial year, a comparison of total charges under the VPP rate and  
6 original rate will be made and a credit will be issued to the customer for any amount paid  
7 that exceeds what would have been paid under the customer’s previous rate. A customer  
8 may continue on the VPP rate for successive years, but will no longer be eligible for best  
9 bill treatment.

10  
11 **Q. How do OG&E and its customers benefit from the VPP rates?**

12 A. Customers who respond to the prices by reducing usage will not only earn benefits for  
13 themselves, they also will generate benefits to be passed on to all customers. Time-  
14 differentiated pricing allows customers to lower their current electric bills and supports  
15 investment decisions in conservation and efficiency that will pay increasing dividends in  
16 the future through avoided capacity costs.

17  
18 **Q. Will non-participating customers benefit from a VPP program?**

19 A. Yes. System load factor improvement benefits all utility customers because it allows a  
20 greater number of units (kWh) to bear the overall fixed costs of the system without  
21 adding new capacity. Customers on time-differentiated programs, such as TOU and VPP,  
22 tend to use more electricity in non-peak periods of usage which actually increase system  
23 load factor, but usage across the peak tends to decrease or remain constant. The increase  
24 in system load factor may actually lower base costs for all customers.

25  
26 Load Reduction Rider

27 **Q. What changes does OG&E propose to its interruptible and curtailment programs?**

28 A. OG&E proposes to implement a Load Reduction Rider that will replace the current  
29 Curtailment Rider, Performance Award for Curtailment of Energy Rider and Interruptible  
30 Rider beginning in April, 2010.

1 Q. **What are the major features of the new Load Reduction Rider?**

2 A. The Load Reduction Program Rider is designed to reward customers through tiered  
3 credits. The credits are based on the customer-elected curtailment level and actual  
4 performance during curtailment events. Customers choose certain aspects of the program  
5 to their operations and curtailment capabilities. Customers will choose the amount of  
6 load they commit to curtail (Subscribed Curtailment Load), the required notification  
7 period (30-minutes or 4 hours) and a limit to the number of hours they will be required to  
8 curtail (120 hours or 240 hours) during the contract year. The subscription credit level  
9 will be based on the options selected by that customer. Performance credits will be  
10 earned by the customer based on their actual reduced load during a curtailment event.  
11 Additionally, customers who commit to reduce load will have an option not to curtail (to  
12 “buy through”) during the curtailment period if specific circumstance prevent partial or  
13 full compliance with the selected options during the curtailment event. A customer  
14 performance factor will provide a premium to customers that continue for an additional  
15 year.

16  
17 Q. **Who is eligible to participate in the Load Reduction Program?**

18 A. The program will be available to all OG&E customers with a peak period maximum  
19 demand of at least 200 kW. Since interval metering is required to administer the program,  
20 customer participation is subject to the availability or installation of the appropriate meter  
21 equipment at the customer site. Customers on the Back-up Service (BUS) tariff are not  
22 eligible for the Load Reduction Rider.

23  
24 Q. **When will the new program be implemented?**

25 A. The Company proposes the program be implemented on January 1, 2010, for enrollment  
26 for the contract year beginning April 1, 2010.

27  
28 Q. **Will the existing PACE, CR-1, and IR-1 riders be withdrawn?**

29 A. Yes. Since the new Load Reduction program consolidates the features of the existing  
30 Curtailment Rider, Interruptible Rider and PACE programs, OG&E proposes to eliminate  
31 these programs in conjunction with the beginning of the new program’s contract year.  
32 The current CR-1, IR-1 and PACE Riders will remain in effect until the new program

1 begins on April 1, 2009. Specifically, the existing programs will be withdrawn on March  
2 31, 2010.

3  
4 **Q. How is the subscription credit determined?**

5 A. The subscription credit is a capacity reservation charge paid by OG&E to compensate the  
6 customer for the commitment to reduce a certain amount of load for the duration of a  
7 curtailment event. This credit is issued to the customer in each of the four summer  
8 months even if no curtailment events are called by OG&E. Each year, prior to the  
9 enrollment period, OG&E will file the subscription prices for the upcoming curtailment  
10 period. Subscription prices are intended to reflect a portion of the value of capacity. The  
11 remaining value of capacity is credited to the customer through the curtailment price of  
12 the performance credit. Subscription credits will be calculated by multiplying the  
13 customer's Subscribed Curtailment Load by the published subscription price, adjusted for  
14 customer selected option and system losses. Subscription credits are paid only during the  
15 four peak months (June through September).

16  
17 **Q. What is the Subscribed Curtailment Load (SCL)?**

18 A. The SCL is the amount of load selected by the customer that the customer agrees to  
19 reduce during a curtailment event. The SCL can range from 0 kW up to the customer's  
20 historical peak period (2pm-7pm) maximum demand. If a customer selects a SCL of 0  
21 kW, the customer will receive no subscription credits. However, the customer will be  
22 eligible to receive performance credits earned during a curtailment event.

23  
24 **Q. Are there limits to the total amount of SCL that the Company will retain and pay  
25 credits for during the annual enrollment period?**

26 A. The Company reserves the right to limit the amount of subscribed curtailment load that is  
27 accepted during the enrollment period.

28  
29 **Q. What other choices must a customer make and how do these options affect the  
30 subscription credits?**

31 A. Customers must choose a minimum notification of either 4 hours or 30 minutes.  
32 Additionally, the customer can choose to limit the total hours of required curtailment to

1 either 120 or 240 hours during the curtailment contract period. Depending on the selected  
2 options, the customer will be eligible to receive additional credits. Selection of a 30-  
3 minute price notification will provide a 10% premium. Selection of the 240 hour limit on  
4 curtailments option will add an additional 25% premium to the subscription credit.  
5

6 **Q. How do customers earn performance credits?**

7 A. When OG&E issues a curtailment notice to reduce load during a specified time,  
8 customers will receive performance credits based on the actual curtailed load during the  
9 event and the curtailment price for the event. The curtailment price will be determined  
10 and communicated to the customers at the time of the curtailment alert. A minimum  
11 performance price will be filed with the subscription price to ensure that customers  
12 understand the minimum compensation they could receive for any load reduction. The  
13 actual curtailment price may be higher than the minimum if market conditions indicate  
14 the value exceeds the minimum price. The curtailment level is determined in the same  
15 manner as set forth in the current PACE rider which incorporates a five day average  
16 baseline compared to actual loads. Credits are calculated for each hour or portion of an  
17 hour for the curtailment event and the total credit for the event is the sum of the hourly  
18 credits.  
19

20 **Q. What happens when a customer fails to reduce load by the subscribed amount?**

21 A. Customers must reduce load by the SCL in order to avoid the requirement to buy through  
22 the curtailment event. If a customer is unable to reduce load to the required level, they  
23 must purchase the difference between the SCL in each hour and the actual load in each  
24 hour. The price for buy-through is twice that of the curtailment price for the event. The  
25 buy-through provision will apply to the customer in all hours of the curtailment event.  
26 Customers who have an SCL of 0 kW are not affected by the buy-through provision of  
27 the curtailment event.  
28

29 **Q. Will a customer be removed from the program if they fail to perform as agreed?**

30 A. Customers will not be removed from the program. However, if a customer consistently  
31 fails to reduce load during curtailment events, the Company may choose to disqualify the

1 customer for future enrollment or require a reduced SCL from the customer during a  
2 succeeding enrollment period.

#### 3 4 DAP PROGRAM CHANGES

5 **Q. What changes are proposed to the Day Ahead Pricing Tariff (DAP)?**

6 A. OG&E proposes to change the method of calculation for hourly energy prices to improve  
7 the efficiency of the price signal based on system conditions. Currently, the marginal  
8 prices are adjusted based on the standard tariff prices for each service level. OG&E  
9 proposes to more closely align hourly prices with the marginal cost of production. This  
10 change will produce lower prices when no capacity constraints exist and higher prices  
11 when reserves are low, compared to the current formulation.

12 The administration charge is being reduced to reflect the cost of providing information  
13 system services for communication of prices and hourly data. The availability of the  
14 program has expanded to include other rate classes. The Company also proposes minor  
15 modifications in the tariff language to improve clarity of the tariff and set forth conditions  
16 for Customer Base Line (CBL) modifications.

17  
18 **Q. What changes are proposed to the energy price calculation?**

19 A. I propose the calculation be changed from the current method of averaging the hourly  
20 price and standard tariff price to a method of applying a fixed value for Risk and  
21 Recovery Factor (RRF) of 0.5¢ to each hourly price. The resulting proposed calculation  
22 is as follows:

$$23 \quad (MC_{hr} \times LAF) + RRF$$

24 Where  $MC_{hr}$  = Marginal Energy + Marginal Outage Costs

25 LAF = Loss Adjustment Factor

26 RRF = Risk and Recovery Factor = 0.5¢ per kWh

27  
28 **Q. How will the proposed calculation affect hourly prices?**

29 A. The change will remove the artificial floor (caused by averaging with standard prices)  
30 and allow prices to be lower in the hours where no system constraints are present. On the  
31 other hand, the artificial ceiling will also be lifted allowing prices to be higher in the  
32 hours with relatively low system reserve margins.

1 Time-Differentiated Embedded Fuel

2 Q. **What is Time-Differentiated Embedded Fuel?**

3 A. Time-differentiated embedded fuel applies only to TOU rates and allows for the  
4 collection of fuel revenues to more closely match the time period in which the fuel is  
5 used. Marginal cost data shows the average fuel cost for the non-peak period to be  
6 approximately 3.31¢ per kWh; removing the fuel cost adjustment of approximately 0.7¢  
7 per kWh results in an embedded fuel cost of 2.6¢ per kWh. The table below shows the  
8 calculation.

	<i>Embedded Fuel Cost</i>	<i>kWh Sales</i>	<i>Fuel Revenue</i>
<b>Peak Period Hours</b>	8.0¢	395,553,220	31,644,258
<b>All Other Hours (Non-peak Period)</b>	2.6¢	6,732,865,671	175,079,890
<b>Total</b>	2.9¢	7,128,418,891	206,724,148

Table 11. Embedded Fuel Calculation

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

9 Q. **Please summarize your recommendations.**

10 A. I recommend the Commission adopt the proposed tariff and T&C changes and the  
11 proposed pro forma adjustments.

12  
13 Q. **Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

14 A. Yes, it does.

## Proposed Revenue Allocation

Customer Group	Levelized			
	Current Revenue	Current Rate of Return	Current Relative RoR	Proposed Rate of Return
RS	\$ 733,174,393	6.2%	86%	9.6%
GS	\$ 163,646,971	11.0%	151%	9.6%
OGP	\$ 13,285,072	12.7%	175%	9.6%
PS-ND	\$ 20,233,353	9.9%	135%	9.6%
PS-D	\$ 11,639,060	10.7%	147%	9.6%
PL	\$ 277,089,506	5.4%	74%	9.6%
PL-TOU	\$ 182,140,945	20.1%	276%	9.6%
LPL-TOU	\$ 302,011,635	6.2%	86%	9.6%
MP	\$ 8,559,608	9.0%	123%	9.6%
ML	\$ 7,627,415	0.2%	3%	9.6%
OSL	\$ 15,587,192	1.7%	24%	9.6%
<b>Total Retail</b>	<b>\$ 1,734,995,150</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>

Group Sub-Totals					
Total PS	\$ 31,872,413	10.1%	139%	-1.4%	9.6%
Total PL	\$ 459,230,451	8.9%	123%	1.6%	9.6%
Total Lighting	\$ 23,214,607	1.2%	16%	64.0%	9.6%

Proposed Allocation					
Proposed Revenue Increase	Total Bill % Increase	Proposed Rate of Return	Proposed Relative RoR		
\$ 56,350,000	7.7%	8.7%	90.0%		
\$ 1,403,000	0.9%	11.3%	117.2%		
\$ (417,000)	-3.1%	11.3%	117.2%		
\$ -	0.0%	9.9%	102.3%		
\$ -	0.0%	10.7%	111.4%		
\$ 17,800,000	6.4%	7.5%	78.2%		
\$ 7,860,000	4.3%	23.0%	239.1%		
\$ 25,296,000	8.4%	11.3%	117.3%		
\$ 473,000	5.5%	11.3%	117.2%		
\$ -	0.0%	0.2%	2.3%		
\$ 1,560,000	10.0%	3.1%	32.3%		
<b>\$ 110,325,000</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>		

\$ -	0.0%	10.1%	105.2%		
\$ 25,660,000	5.6%	11.3%	117.3%		
\$ 1,560,000	6.7%	2.1%	21.6%		

Exhibit BJS-1