

BEFORE THE ARKANSAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE FORMULA)	
RATE PLAN FILINGS OF OKLAHOMA)	
GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY)	DOCKET NO. 18-046-FR
PURSUANT TO APSC DOCKET)	
NO. 16-052-U)	

Direct Testimony

of

Marie Eveland

on behalf of

Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company

1 Q. **Please state your name, position, by whom you are employed, and your business**
2 **address.**

3 A. My name is Marie Eveland. I am the Lead Cost Analyst for the Regulatory department for
4 Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company (“OG&E” or “Company”). My business address is
5 321 N. Harvey, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, 73102.
6

7 Q. **Please summarize your professional qualifications and educational background.**

8 A. I have worked for OG&E since March of 2015. Since I began employment, I have worked
9 in various analytical roles including Load Analyst, Senior Load Analyst, Lead Load
10 Analyst and currently as the Lead Cost of Service Analyst. Prior to joining OG&E’s
11 Regulatory department, I worked as the Pricing and Data Integrity Manager for Airgas in
12 Tulsa for almost a year. For the previous 20 years of my career, I worked at the Kansas
13 Turnpike Authority. I received a Bachelor of Science in Business Management from
14 Friends University in 2001. Currently, I am pursuing a Data Analytics Certificate from
15 Colorado State University.

16 I have over 25 years’ experience analyzing and modeling large, complex sets of
17 data including customer trends, pricing data, weather trends, and employee data and using
18 these analyses in the development of data driven forecasts. Additionally, I have over 20
19 years of fulfilling management experience where I have coached, mentored, and developed
20 successful teams. My cost of service responsibilities include operating and maintaining
21 the cost of service model, providing support for cost studies, analyzing the
22 functionalization, classification and allocation of costs, preparing data scenarios, ensuring
23 regulatory compliance, analyzing trends within the utility industry, preparing minimum
24 filing requirements during rate case proceedings in multiple jurisdictions, mentoring other
25 employees and other responsibilities as needed to support current regulatory requirements
26 or needs.
27

28 Q. **Have you previously testified before this Commission?**

29 A. No.
30
31

1 Q. **What is the purpose of your direct testimony?**

2 A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to support the Company's update to its cost of service
3 study ("COSS") and the resulting changes to the jurisdictional allocators. I support the
4 Company's schedules G-1, G-2, G-3, and G-4 which can be found in the Company's filed
5 COSS model, as referenced by Attachment E Item 14 of the FRP tariff.

6

7

I. COMPLIANCE COSS

8 Q. **Why is the Company updating its COSS?**

9 A. In accordance with Item 14 of Attachment E of the Company's FRP tariff, OG&E submits
10 a COSS with updated jurisdictional cost allocators. These revised allocators reflect
11 changes in usage between the Company's Arkansas and Oklahoma customers to ensure
12 that costs are properly allocated between jurisdictions. Please note that although
13 jurisdictional allocators are being updated, the Company's FRP requires that class
14 allocators remain unchanged from those set in Docket No. 16-052-U.

15

16 Q. **Is the Company utilizing the approved methodology from Docket No. 16-052-U to
17 update its jurisdictional allocators?**

18 A. Yes. The Company is using the same allocation methodologies that were approved for use
19 in the settled COSS from Docket No. 16-052-U, as well as the modifications or
20 clarifications ordered as a result of the First Evaluation Report, Second Evaluation Report,
21 and Fourth Evaluation Report:

22 • Four coincident peaks ("CP") average and excess methodology for the Production
23 Demand and Production Wind jurisdictional allocators;

24 • 12CP methodology for the Transmission Demand and Transmission Demand/SPP
25 jurisdictional allocators;

26 • Non-Coincident Peak methodology for the Distribution Demand allocator;
27 and

28 • Total Energy for the Production Energy allocator.

29

1 Q. **Please summarize the specific updates to the COSS that affect the jurisdictional**
2 **allocators in this filing.**

3 A. The Company is updating the coincident system peak load, customer individual peak load,
4 customer counts, and customer energy data.

5
6 Q. **What is the impact when these components are updated in the COSS?**

7 A. These updates will change the jurisdictional percentage of the following allocators:
8 Production Demand, Production Wind, Transmission Demand, Transmission
9 Demand/SPP, Production Energy, and Distribution Demand.

10

11 Q. **What are the updated jurisdictional allocators for the Sixth Evaluation Report filing?**

12 A. The updated Arkansas allocators are as follows:

- 13 • Production Demand and Production Wind: 8.64%,
- 14 • Transmission Demand: 7.54%,
- 15 • Transmission Demand/SPP: 8.60%,
- 16 • Production Energy: 8.79%,
- 17 and
- 18 • Distribution Demand: 96.51%.

19

20 **III. RECOMMENDATION**

21 Q. **What is the Company's recommendation to the Commission?**

22 A. I recommend that that the Commission accept the Company's updated COSS and the
23 resulting updated jurisdictional allocators and retail revenue requirement, as seen on line
24 12 of Attachment D-1 of the FRP Tariff, for the Historical Period.

25

26 Q. **Does this conclude your testimony?**

27 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Lawrence E. Chisenhall, Jr., hereby state that a copy of the foregoing instrument was served on all the parties of record via the APSC Electronic Filing System on this the 2nd day of October 2023.

/s/ Lawrence E. Chisenhall, Jr.
Lawrence E. Chisenhall, Jr.