

BEFORE THE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)
OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY)
FOR AN ORDER OF THE COMMISSION)
AUTHORIZING APPLICANT TO MODIFY ITS)
RATES, CHARGES, AND TARIFFS FOR RETAIL)
ELECTRIC SERVICE IN OKLAHOMA)

CASE NO. PUD 2023-000087



RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY
OF
MCKLEIN AGUIRRE
APRIL 26, 2024

BEFORE THE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY

OF

MCKLEIN AGUIRRE

APRIL 26, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
INTRODUCTION.....	4
PURPOSE.....	5
PUD’S REVIEW PROCESS.....	6
RATE CASE EXPENSE	6
FRANCHISE FEES.....	9
PREPAYMENTS	10
ADVERTISING, INFORMATIONAL/INSTRUCTIONAL/MISCELLANEOUS, AND MARKETING AND SALES EXPENSE	11
DUES AND DONATIONS.....	13
LEGAL SETTLEMENTS.....	15
COVID-19 REGULATORY ASSET.....	16
OUTSIDE SERVICES.....	17
RECOMMENDATION.....	18
LIST OF EXHIBITS.....	20

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1
2 On December 29, 2023, Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company (“OG&E” or “Company”)
3 filed an Application for a modification of its rates, charges, and tariffs for electric utility
4 service in Oklahoma. The Public Utility Division (“PUD”) of the Oklahoma Corporation
5 Commission (“OCC” or “Commission”) reviewed the Application, workpapers and
6 testimony filed by Company witnesses, and prior Commission Orders. PUD issued data
7 requests and reviewed the responses provided by OG&E as well as the responses to data
8 requests issued by other parties in the case. Additionally, PUD conducted multiple onsite
9 and virtual audit conferences with Company personnel discussing test year and post-test
10 year adjustments. PUD intends to further review mitigation of Outside
11 Services/Legal/Rate Case Expenses in future cases.

12 After conducting a thorough review of OG&E’s proposed adjustments and the supporting
13 workpapers and documentation, PUD recommends the Commission accept the following
14 adjustments and recommendations:

- 15 1. PUD Adjustment No. H-17 to decrease Rate Case Expenses by \$163,552 from the
16 \$478,987 requested by OG&E.¹ This results in PUD’s recommended Rate Case
17 Expense of \$630,869 to be recovered over a two-year amortization period. Further,
18 PUD has recommended the same method described in OG&E’s last rate case, Case
19 No. PUD 2021-000164 in Final Order No. 728277.
- 20 2. PUD Adjustment No. B-8 to increase the Company’s requested level of
21 Prepayments by \$1,705,339.² This adjustment updates the Company’s 13-month

¹ OG&E’s response to PUD’s data request PUD 10-7 attachment PUD 10-7-Supp1_Att3_Supp – Expenses tab WP H2.39 Rate Case Exp.

² OG&E’s response to PUD’s data request 10-7 attachment PUD 10-7-Supp1_Att2_Supp – Rate Base tab WP B-3-10 Prepayments.

1 average as of six-month post-test year. The updated 13-month average represents
2 a more current, ongoing level while still accounting for the fluctuating balance of
3 Prepayments on the balance sheet.

4 3. PUD Adjustment No. H-5 to decrease Dues and Donations by \$(177,908). Since
5 memberships and contributions benefit ratepayers and shareholders, PUD
6 recommends the Commission divide \$355,815 equally between the ratepayers and
7 shareholders resulting in a disallowance of \$(177,908) to Dues and Donations. This
8 is consistent with PUD's treatment of Dues and Donations in previous cases.³

9 4. PUD Adjustment No. B-10 to decrease the COVID-19 Regulatory Asset by
10 \$(642,433) to recognize the six-month post-test year balance of \$4,175,813.

11 **INTRODUCTION**

12 **Q: Please state your name and your business address.**

13 A: My name is McKlein Aguirre. My business address is Oklahoma Corporation
14 Commission, Public Utility Division, Will Rogers Office Building, PUD Suite 414, 2401
15 North Lincoln Boulevard, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105.

16 **Q: Have you previously testified before the Commission and were your qualifications**
17 **accepted?**

18 A: Yes. I have testified previously before the Commission and my qualifications were
19 accepted.

20 **Q: Who employs you and what is your position?**

³ Cause Nos.: PUD 201500273, PUD 201500208, PUD 201700496, PUD 201700079, PUD 201700078, PUD 201700151, PUD 201800097, PUD 202100055, PUD 202100063, and PUD 202100164.

1 A: I am employed by the Oklahoma Corporation Commission's Public Utility Division as a
2 Programs Manager.

3 **Q: How long have you been so employed?**

4 A: I have been employed by the Commission since 2016.

5 **Q: What are your duties and responsibilities with PUD?**

6 A: As a Programs Manager, I assist with case management and support a team of analysts who
7 conduct research and perform comparative analysis of applications, reports, financial records,
8 and workpapers to ensure PUD makes accurate recommendations. Additionally, my work
9 focuses on review and analysis of annual fuel audits. I have also worked in PUD's Oklahoma
10 Universal Service Fund telecom group supervising and supporting analysts reviewing
11 requests for funding and updating and streamlining forms and templates for the group. For a
12 complete list of my work history and educational background, please review the attached
13 curriculum vitae.⁴

14 **PURPOSE**

15 **Q: What is the purpose of your Responsive Testimony regarding OG&E's Application for
16 a modification in its rates, charges, and tariffs in Case No. PUD 2023-000087?**

17 A: The purpose of my Responsive Testimony is to present PUD's recommendations to the
18 Commission regarding the following areas of review:

- 19
 - Rate Case Expense
 - Franchise Fees
- 20

⁴ Exhibit MA-1.

- 1 • Prepayments
- 2 • SEC Form 10-K
- 3 • Advertising Informational/Instructional/Miscellaneous/Sales, and Marketing and
- 4 Sales Expense
- 5 • Dues and Donations
- 6 • Legal Settlements
- 7 • Covid-19 Regulatory Asset
- 8 • Outside Services
- 9 • Credit Line Fee Expense

10 **PUD'S REVIEW PROCESS**

11 **Q: Please explain PUD's review process in this Case.**

12 A: PUD reviewed the Application, FERC Form 1 and the Company's Securities and Exchange
13 Commission Form 10-K, the workpapers and testimony filed by OG&E witnesses, and
14 Final Order No. 728277 in Case No. PUD 2021-000164. PUD issued data requests and
15 reviewed the associated responses, including responses to data requests issued by
16 intervenors to this Case. PUD conducted an onsite audit with OG&E personnel to review
17 highly confidential data and to have discussions with the Company regarding the areas of
18 review. PUD also conducted subsequent audit conferences with OG&E personnel to
19 further discuss six-month post-test year updates.

20 **RATE CASE EXPENSE**

21 **Q: What is OG&E requesting for Rate Case Expense?**

22 A: OG&E is requesting \$463,973 of Rate Case Expense from Cause No. PUD 202100164
23 incurred after April 2022 (not included in prior rates) and \$494,000 in estimated Rate Case
24 Expenses through March 2024 associated with the current case. OG&E is requesting the

1 sum of these Rate Case Expenses to be amortized over a two-year period thereby increasing
2 operating expenses by \$478,987.⁵

3 **Q: What analysis did PUD perform regarding OG&E's Rate Case Expenses?**

4 A: PUD reviewed supporting documents for items pertaining to Rate Case Expenses for prior
5 cases, the current case, the test year, and the six-month post-test year, including legal fees,
6 consultant contracts and fees, and other expense-related details. PUD also reviewed
7 amortization schedules of Rate Case Expenses for prior cases.

8 **Q: How much of these expenses are attributable to the current case?**

9 A: The forecast amount of Rate Case Expenses for this case, as reported in OG&E filings, the
10 onsite supporting documentation, and the response to data request AG 2-1, totals \$494,000.
11 However, the actual amount of Rate Case Expenses incurred for this case through the six-
12 month post-test year period is \$166,896. PUD recommends this updated amount of
13 incurred and allowable costs for Rate Case Expenses through March 31, 2024, be recovered
14 over a two-year amortization period because rate cases do not occur every year and on
15 average the Company is filing a rate case every two years.⁶

16 **Q: Please explain PUD's Adjustment No. H-17 to adjust Rate Case Expenses to the actual
17 incurred level of expenses.**

18 A: OG&E has requested a recovery period of two years as shown by its pro forma adjustment

⁵ (463,973 + 494,000) / 2 Yrs.

⁶ PUD 2021-0000164; PUD 2018-000140; PUD 2017-000496; PUD 2015-000273 Approximately Two Years In Between.

1 in WP H 2-39. Based on WP H 2-39, the filed Application, onsite documentation, and
 2 responses to data requests AG 2-1 and PUD 10-7, the total current and remaining balance
 3 provided to PUD is as follows:

4 Table 1 – Rate Case Expenses

OG&E’s forecast expense	\$494,000	OG&E’s actual expense	\$166,896
2021 Rate Case Expenses Deferred	<u>+\$463,973</u>	2021 Rate Case Expenses Deferred	<u>+\$463,973</u>
OG&E’s total request (\$478,987 per year)	\$957,973	PUD’s recommended amount OG&E should be allowed to recover (\$315,435 per year)	\$630,869
Remaining balance	\$957,973 <u>- \$630,869</u> \$327,104		
PUD Adjustment No. H-17	(\$163,552)		

5 **Q: How does PUD Adjustment No. H-17 affect Rate Case Expenses?**

6 A: PUD Adjustment No. H-17 to decrease Rate Case Expenses by \$163,552 from the
 7 \$478,987 requested by OG&E as of six-month post-test year.⁷ This results in PUD’s
 8 recommended Rate Case Expense of \$630,869 to be recovered over a two-year
 9 amortization. Further, PUD has recommended the same method described in OG&E’s last
 10 rate case, Case No. PUD 2021-000164 in Final Order No. 728277.

11 **Q: Is PUD’s recommendation the same treatment that was recommended in the prior**
 12 **rate case?**

13 A: Yes, both PUD and the Company recommend the same treatment recommended in the prior
 14 rate case which is to include actual cost through the end of the pro forma period ending

⁷ OG&E’s response to PUD’s data request PUD 10-7 attachment PUD 10-7-Supp1_Att3_Supp – Expenses tab WP H2.39 Rate Case Exp.

1 March 2024. If there are any costs incurred after this time, they are to be deferred for
2 review in the next rate case.

3 **Q: What else does PUD recommend as a safeguard to mitigate Rate Case Expenses being**
4 **over collected or the customer overpaying?**

5 A: PUD recommends the same method described in Final Order No. 728277,

6 “Amortization of rate case expenses over 2 years with the Company
7 thereafter using the annual amortization to reduce any regulatory asset for
8 rate case expense after the 6-month post-test year, which will be subject to
9 Commission review in OG&E’s next rate case. To the extent there is no
10 remaining balance, the Company will accrue a regulatory liability in the
11 amount of the annual amortization.”

12 **FRANCHISE FEES**

13 **Q: Are these fees included in the revenue requirement for this rate case?**

14 A: No.⁸

15 **Q: What are utility Franchise Fees and why is OG&E not requesting cost recovery of**
16 **these fees in its base rates case?**

17 A: Franchise Fees are payments to governmental authorities such as cities or municipalities
18 for the use of their rights-of-way. Since these fees are passed directly to customers and
19 itemized on customer bills they do not need to be recovered through base rates. PUD
20 reviewed the amount to ensure they were not included in base rates.

⁸ OG&E’s response to the AG’s data request 12-8.

PREPAYMENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

Q: What are Prepayments?

A: Prepayments are expenditures made on costs or debt obligations before receiving the goods or services for which expenditure was being made. Common examples of Prepayments include insurance, pensions, and sales taxes. Prepayments are an asset on the balance sheet.

Q: Explain PUD’s review process for Prepayments.

A: PUD reviewed the Company’s supporting workpapers related to Prepayments, which include WP G6, WP B-3-10 Prepayments, WP B-05, and the Direct Testimony of James G. Fenno in this case. During the onsite audit PUD was able to meet with OG&E personnel to ask questions regarding Prepayments and the account’s activity and fluctuations, select items included in the prepaid accounts to trace them to the general ledger and conduct a trend analysis to determine the balance that is the most representative of OG&E’s ongoing investment requirement.

Q: What is OG&E’s adjustment for Prepayments?

A: OG&E’s adjustment WP B-3-10 is to increase rate base by \$3,313,787. OG&E proposed using a 13-month average for Prepayments. The pro forma level for Prepayments is \$10,400,353.⁹ OG&E’s adjustment amount is the difference between the test year end and the 13-month average balance to account for the fluctuating balance of Prepayments on the balance sheet based upon the six-month post-test year period.

⁹ WP B-3-10 Prepayments and WP B-5.

1 **Q: What is the balance of Prepayments at the end of the test year?**

2 A: The balance of Prepayments at the test year end is \$7,086,566.¹⁰

3 **Q: What is PUD's adjustment to Prepayments and why?**

4 A: PUD Adjustment No. B-8 is to increase the Company's pro forma rate base request for
5 Prepayments by \$1,705,339.¹¹ This adjustment updates the Company's 13-month average
6 as of the six-month post-test year. The updated 13-month average represents a more
7 current, ongoing level while still accounting for the fluctuating balance of Prepayments on
8 the balance sheet.

9 **ADVERTISING, INFORMATIONAL/INSTRUCTIONAL/MISCELLANEOUS, AND**

10 **MARKETING AND SALES EXPENSE**

11 **Q: What criteria did PUD use in its evaluation of Advertising,**
12 **Informational/Instructional/Miscellaneous, and Marketing and Sales Expense?**

13 A: In conducting its audit, PUD relied upon 17 O.S. § 180.1, Advertising Expenses by Public
14 Utilities, and OAC § 165:35-7-1 regarding promotional policies and practices. 17 O.S. §
15 180.1 states, "Advertising expenses shall not be included by a public utility in its operating
16 expenses for ratemaking purposes." In addition, PUD relied upon the Code of Federal
17 Regulation rules regarding Advertising, Marketing, and Promotional Expenses such as

¹⁰ WP B-3-10 Prepayments.

¹¹ OG&E's response to PUD's data request 10-7 attachment PUD 10-7-Supp1_Att2_Supp – Rate Base tab WP B-3-10 Prepayments.

1 Account 909 (Informational and Instructional Advertising Expenses (Major only) and
2 Account 930.1 (General Advertising Expenses).¹²

3 **Q: What was PUD's review process?**

4 A: PUD reviewed OG&E's Advertising Expenses to ensure OG&E removed expenses that
5 did not meet the statutory definition in Title 17, Section 180 of the Oklahoma Statutes,
6 which defines advertising expenses that may be included by public utilities in operating
7 expenses for ratemaking purposes. PUD reviewed the Company's WP H-2-37 Advertising,
8 WP H-21, and the Direct Testimony of Jason J. Thenmadathil in this case. During the
9 onsite audit at OG&E, PUD reviewed the Company's advertising campaigns and
10 promotional practices. PUD also reviewed original documentation such as invoices to
11 support the general ledger detail for these accounts.

12 **Q: What kind of expenditures within the Company's Advertising and Marketing
13 Campaign does PUD recommend allowing?**

14 A: PUD recommends allowing the Company's test year expenditures associated with
15 advertising campaigns that are related to: (1) promotion of health and safety; (2)
16 environmental protection; (3) safe and economic use of electric equipment; or (4)
17 conservation of electricity. Safety information is beneficial to ratepayers because it
18 informs the public of dangerous or hazardous conditions regarding electricity. This type
19 of safety campaign is considered vital and therefore the cost associated with this campaign
20 should be paid by ratepayers.

¹² <https://www.ecfr.gov> (4/15/2024).

1 **Q: What are OG&E's proposed adjustments to these expenses?**

2 A: OG&E's adjustment in WP H-2-37 Advertising is to decrease operational expenses by
3 \$(3,517,349) to exclude expenses that did not meet the statutory definition in Title 17,
4 Section 180 of the Oklahoma Statutes, which defines advertising expenses that may be
5 included by public utilities in operating expenses for ratemaking purposes. OG&E's
6 adjustment in WP H-2-34 Non-recoverable Expense Removal also removes other non-
7 recoverable expenses from base rates such as entertainment and gift expenses from FERC
8 accounts 912 and 916 respectively.

9 **Q: Does PUD agree with these adjustments?**

10 A: Yes, PUD agrees with OG&E's adjustments for the above-mentioned reasons.

11 **DUES AND DONATIONS**

12 **Q: What are Dues and Donations?**

13 A: Dues are expenses a company pays to establish and maintain membership to certain
14 organizations or informational services. The memberships can include Chambers of
15 Commerce or organizations that keep the company informed of industry trends, provide
16 the Company with subscription-based tools for ratemaking purposes, and allow the
17 Company convenient access to potential Operations and Maintenance solutions. The dues
18 can be paid yearly, monthly, or quarterly.

19 Donations are funds that are given to assist a person, organization, or event. Examples
20 may include charitable contributions to local organizations or sponsorships of local events.

1 Good will through donations is encouraged to establish relationships, but generally are not
2 allowed in recovery for ratemaking purposes.

3 **Q: Is OG&E proposing any adjustments to these expenses?**

4 A: Yes, in WP H-2-34 Non-recoverable Expense Removal pro forma adjustment, OG&E is
5 proposing to remove \$1,792,224 in donations/sponsorships.

6 **Q: Does PUD have any additional adjustments to the area of Dues and Donations?**

7 A: Yes. PUD recommends PUD Adjustment No. H-5 to decrease Dues and Donations by
8 \$(177,908).

9 **Q: What was PUD's analysis?**

10 A: Since Dues and Donations are not necessary for the provision of electric service, PUD
11 analyzed the expenses to determine whether they would provide a benefit to the Company
12 and its ratepayers. If the expense would benefit only the ratepayers, PUD would allow
13 100% of the expense. If PUD determined that the expense would benefit both the Company
14 and the ratepayers, PUD may allow a portion of the expense.

15 While reviewing the expenses in OG&E's WP H-16, PUD determined \$355,815 were
16 related to Chambers of Commerce Dues, \$1,113,000 to professional and other membership
17 dues of which \$933,616 was for EEI Membership, and \$1,792,224 were related to
18 sponsorship and donations which OG&E removed from base rates through adjustment H-
19 2-34 to remove certain non-recoverable expenses.

1 Chambers of Commerce support economic development and promote the Oklahoma
2 economy. They exist to promote interest in opportunities for local businesses. These
3 membership expenses are not necessary for the provision of electric service but do provide
4 some benefit to both ratepayers and shareholders. The work performed by the Chambers
5 of Commerce can bring in additional customers, which benefits both the Company and
6 ratepayers through savings afforded by economies of scale. Therefore, PUD recommends
7 the Commission divide \$355,815 equally between the ratepayers and shareholders
8 resulting in a disallowance of \$(177,908) to Dues and Donations. This is consistent with
9 PUD's treatment of Chambers of Commerce Dues in previous cases.¹³

10 **LEGAL SETTLEMENTS**

11 **Q: What level of Legal Settlements has OG&E included in this filing?**

12 A: OG&E's Legal Settlements on WP H-11 for the test year are \$1,604,597.

13 **Q: Discuss PUD's review of Legal Settlements.**

14 A: PUD reviewed WP H-11 and other supporting documentation to understand the historical
15 trends of this account. PUD conducted an onsite audit to review this information at the
16 Company's headquarters because it contains highly sensitive confidential information.
17 PUD had discussions with the Company's personnel to gain an understanding of the
18 Company's process and handling of Legal Settlements. During the onsite audit, PUD also

¹³ Cause Nos.: PUD 201500273, PUD 201500208, PUD 201700496, PUD 201700079, PUD 201700078, PUD 201700151, PUD 201800097, PUD 202100055, PUD 202100063, and PUD 202100164.

1 randomly selected different cases that were paid out during the test year to verify the type
2 of claim and settlement amounts to the general ledger.

3 **Q: Does PUD have any adjustments to this area?**

4 A: No, PUD did not discover any discrepancies, issues, or concerns with the information
5 OG&E presented.

6 **COVID-19 REGULATORY ASSET**

7 **Q: What is included in OG&E's COVID-19 Regulatory Asset?**

8 A: OG&E included the test year-end balance of \$4,818,246 of the regulatory asset.¹⁴

9 **Q: Why is the test year-end balance \$4,818,246?**

10 A: Final Order No. 728277 in Case No. PUD2021-000164 ordered the amortization of
11 COVID-19 Regulatory Asset balance of \$6,424,328 over five years at a rate of \$107,072 a
12 month, which results in the test year-end balance of \$4,818,246.

13 **Q: Does PUD have a recommendation regarding the Covid-19 Regulatory Asset?**

14 A: Yes, PUD Adjustment No. B-10 to decrease the COVID-19 Regulatory Asset by
15 \$(642,433). This reduction to the Company's rate base is recommended to update this
16 regulatory asset to the six-month post-test year balance of \$4,175,813.¹⁵

¹⁴ OG&E's response to OIEC's data request 1-19.

¹⁵ OG&E's response to PUD's data request PUD 10-7 attachment PUD 10-7-Supp1_Att2_Supp – Rate Base tab WP B-3-15 Reg Assets Liab.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

OUTSIDE SERVICES

Q: What level of Outside Services has OG&E included in this filing?

A: Outside Services as recorded in WP H-12 is \$17,682,859 for the test year.

Q: What type of expenses are included in Outside Services?

A: Around a third of the total amount of Outside Services are legal services and fees from outside counsel. The remainder are professional services such as consulting and others for general services.

Q: Please explain PUD’s review of these expenses.

A: PUD reviewed the Company’s WP H-12, WP H 2-34 Non-recoverable Expense Removal, and the Direct Testimony of Jason J. Thenmadathil in this case. During the onsite audit at OG&E, PUD had discussions with OG&E’s personnel regarding Outside Services, conducted comparative analyses, and verified sample journal entries by reviewing the related support such as invoices for these expenses.

Q: Does PUD have any adjustments to Outside Services?

A: No, PUD ensured certain shareholder-related legal expenses were not included in the cost of service along with tracing these payments back to the general ledger and did not discover any discrepancies, issues, or concerns.

Q: Does PUD have any concerns regarding Outside Services/Legal/Rate Case Expenses?

A: No, however PUD intends to further review mitigation of these expenses in future cases.

RECOMMENDATION

Q: What is the Public Utility Division’s (“PUD”) recommendation to the Oklahoma Corporation Commission (“Commission”) in Case No. PUD 2023-000087?

A: After conducting a thorough review of OG&E’s proposed adjustments and the supporting workpapers and documentation, PUD recommends the Commission accept the following adjustments and recommendations:

1. PUD Adjustment No. H-17 to decrease \$163,552 from the \$478,987 of Rate Case Expenses requested by OG&E resulting in PUD’s recommended \$630,869 of Rate Case Expenses to be recovered over two years. This adjustment increases operating expenses by \$315,435 compared to the Company's original request of \$478,987.¹⁶ Further, PUD recommends the same method described in Final Order No. 728277, “Amortization of rate case expenses over 2 years with the Company thereafter using the annual amortization to reduce any regulatory asset for rate case expense after the 6-month post-test year, which will be subject to Commission review in OG&E’s next rate case. To the extent there is no remaining balance, the Company will accrue a regulatory liability in the amount of the annual amortization.”
2. PUD Adjustment No. B-8 to increase the Company’s pro forma rate base request for Prepayments by \$1,705,339.¹⁷ This adjustment updates the Company’s 13-month average as of the six-month post-test year. The updated 13-month average represents a more current, ongoing level while still accounting for the fluctuating balance of Prepayments on the balance sheet.
3. PUD Adjustment No. H-5 to decrease Dues and Donations by \$(177,908) to recognize these memberships and contributions benefit ratepayers and shareholders. Therefore, PUD recommends the Commission divide \$355,816 equally between the ratepayers and shareholders resulting in a disallowance of \$(177,908) to Dues and Donations.

¹⁶ OG&E’s response to PUD’s data request PUD 10-7 attachment PUD 10-7-Supp1_Att3_Supp – Expenses tab WP H2.39 Rate Case Exp.

¹⁷ OG&E’s response to PUD’s data request 10-7 attachment PUD 10-7-Supp1_Att2_Supp – Rate Base tab WP B-3-10 Prepayments.

- 1 This is consistent with PUD's treatment of Dues and Donations in previous cases.¹⁸
2 4. PUD Adjustment No. B-10 to decrease the COVID-19 Regulatory Asset by \$(642,433).
3 This adjustment is recommended to bring this regulatory asset to the six-month post-
4 test year updated balance of \$4,175,813.

I state, under penalty of perjury under the laws of Oklahoma, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

 /s/McKlein Aguirre
 McKlein Aguirre

¹⁸ Cause Nos.: PUD 201500273, PUD 201500208, PUD 201700496, PUD 201700079, PUD 201700078, PUD 201700151, PUD 201800097, PUD 202100055, PUD 202100063, and PUD 202100164.

Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company – Case No. PUD 2023-000087

LIST OF EXHIBITS

MA-1

Curriculum Vitae



Mcklein Aguirre

Exhibit MA-1
Curriculum Vitae

Contact	Mcklein.aguirre@occ.ok.gov Office: 405-521-4114 Fax: 405-521-2087	Jim Thorpe Office Building Room 580 2101 North Lincoln Boulevard Oklahoma City, OK 73105
----------------	---	--

Professional Experience	Oklahoma Corporation Commission Programs Manager III	2019-Present
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assists with case management and supports a team of Public Utility Regulatory Analysts at the Public Utility Division that, as authorized by the State of Oklahoma, regulates electric and gas utility rates, terms, conditions of service, and safety to ensure Oklahoma’s public interest and serve Oklahoma ratepayers in a fair, just, and reasonable manner • Provides written and oral testimony to the Commission regarding regulatory ratemaking issues • Analyzes applications of public utilities for ratemaking purposes • Performs monthly and annual audits of Fuel Adjustment Clauses for regulated utilities • Audits rate increases up to 3% for Oklahoma electric cooperatives pursuant to 17 O.S. §158.27 • Lead Analyst in Cause Nos.: PUD 201800140 and PUD 202200022, and in the following Case Nos.: PUD 2022-000042, PUD 2023-000014, PUD 2023-000037, PUD 2023-000060, PUD 2023-000075, and PUD 2023-000085 • Assigned Analyst in Cause No. PUD 201900019 and in the following Case Nos.: PUD 2022-000093, PUD 2023-000012, PUD 2023-000028, PUD 2023-000030, PUD 2023-000086, PUD 2023-000087, and PUD 2024-000010 	
	Oklahoma Corporation Commission Public Utility Regulatory Analyst	2016-2019
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analyzed applications of public utilities for ratemaking purposes • Provided written and oral testimony to the Commission regarding regulatory ratemaking issues • Performed monthly and annual audits of Fuel Adjustment Clauses for regulated utilities • Audited rate increases up to 3% for Oklahoma electric cooperatives pursuant to 17 O.S. §158.27 • Lead Analyst in Cause No. PUD 201700259 and Cause No. PUD 201800065 • Assistant Lead Analyst in Cause No. PUD 201800028 • Assigned Analyst in the following Cause Nos.: PUD 201600468, PUD 201700078, PUD 201700079, PUD 201700151, PUD 201700259, PUD 201700496, PUD 201800029, and PUD 201800097 	
	Petite Maison LLC Managing Member	2014-Present
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analyzes and researches the local area real estate market • Performs contract and lease negotiations • Manages property • Develops and maintains budgets • Procures and provides bids and project estimates • Transports, delivers, and installs art • Repairs, remodels, preps, stages, and decorates properties 	



Mcklein Aguirre

Exhibit MA-1
Curriculum Vitae

	Oklahoma City Public Schools	2011-2016
	School and Community Liaison Coordinator	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Liaised to build and maintain positive relationships and partnerships between the school and the community Assessed needs and resources to ensure various needs were met Planned and organized events with stakeholders and vendors 	
	Farmers Insurance Group, Inc.	2008-2011
	Customer Service Associate	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtained initial loss information to initiate claims handling process Made initial contact with insured and claimant parties to verify claims information and advised on claims process Responded to telephone and written inquiries regarding status of claims assigned 	
Education	Southern Nazarene University	2013
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bachelor of Science in Business Administration 	
Professional Training	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Basics – Practical Regulatory Training for the Electric Industry NRRI’s Training on Utility Regulation, Ratemaking, Energy, and Telecommunications Matters AEEOK/OREC Roger Farrer Energy Conference Back to the Future II and III INS 21 test – Property and Liability Insurance Principles Insurance Adjuster licensee training; license number 40119501 	
Associations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> President, Fleur de Lis’ Home Owners’ Association Board of Directors, Lincoln Terrace Neighborhood Association 	

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

This is to certify that on the 26th day of April, 2024, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was electronically served via the Electronic Case Filing System to those on the Official Electronic Case Filing Service List, or via electronic mail to the following persons:

William L. Humes
OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
PO Box 321, MC 1208
Oklahoma City, OK 73101
humeswl@oge.com
reginfo@oge.com

Deborah R. Thompson
Kenneth A. Tillotson
THOMPSON TILLOTSON PLLC
P.O. Box 54632
Oklahoma City, OK 73154
deborah@ttfirm.com
kenneth@ttfirm.com

Thomas P. Schroedter
HALL, ESTILL, HARDWICK, GABLE,
GOLDEN & NELSON, P.C.
521 East 2nd St., Suite 1200
Tulsa, OK 74120
tschroedter@hallestill.com

Adam J. Singer
J. Eric Turner
DERRYBERRY & NAIFEH, LLP
4800 N. Lincoln Blvd.
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
asinger@derryberrylaw.com
jeturner@derryberrylaw.com

Rick D Chamberlain
P.O. Box 21866
Oklahoma City, OK 73156-1866
rick@chamberlainlawoffices.com

Lesli R. Newton, Maj USAF
Federal Executive Agencies Attorney
139 Barnes Dr., Suite 1
Tyndall AFB, FL 32403-5317
Leslie.newton.1@us.af.mil

A. Chase Snodgrass
K. Christine Chevis
Ashley N. Youngblood
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
313 N.E. 21st Street
Oklahoma City, OK 73105
chase.snodgrass@oag.ok.gov
christine.chevis@oag.ok.gov
ashley.youngblood@oag.ok.gov
utility.regulation@oag.ok.gov

J. David Jacobson
JACOBSON & LAASCH
212 East Second St.
Edmond, OK 73037
Jdj8788@aol.com

Jack G. Clark Jr.
CLARK, WOOD & PATTEN, P.C.
3545 N.W. 58th St., Suite 400
Oklahoma City, OK 73112
cclark@cswp-law.com

Ronald E. Stakem
CHEEK & FALCONE, PLLC
6301 Waterford Blvd., Suite 320
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
rstakem@cheekfalcone.com

Jeremy E. Melton
Paul D. Trimble
TRIMBLE LAW GROUP, PLLC
5510 N. Francis Avenue
Oklahoma City, OK 73118
jmelton@trimblelawgroup.com
ptrimble@trimblelawgroup.com

Mary Ellen Sanders
Mary Ellen Sanders, Legal Secretary
OKLAHOMA CORPORATION COMMISSION