

BEFORE THE
ARKANSAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF)
OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY)
FOR APPROVAL OF A GENERAL CHANGE IN) DOCKET NO. 16-052-U
RATES, CHARGES AND TARIFFS)

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CLARK D. COTTEN
SENIOR ELECTRICAL ENGINEER

ON BEHALF OF THE GENERAL STAFF OF THE
ARKANSAS PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MARCH 30, 2017

OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 16-052-U
SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF CLARK D. COTTEN

INTRODUCTION

Q. Please state your name.

A. My name is Clark D. Cotten.

Q. Are you the same Clark D. Cotten who filed Direct Testimony in this docket on January 31, 2017, on behalf of the General Staff of the Arkansas Public Service Commission (APSC or Commission)?

A. Yes, I am.

PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY

Q. What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony?

A. The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony is to state my continued support of my Direct Testimony recommendations that the Commission find that the:

- Installation of environmental controls to comply with the Oklahoma Regional Haze State Implementation Plan (SIP) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Mercury and Air Toxics Standards Rule (MATS) are in the public interest;
- Blackwell Wind Energy Purchase Agreement (WEPA) is in the public interest; and
- Proposal by OG&E to delete Section 304, Voltage Verification, from its Terms and Conditions of Service tariff be denied.

OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 16-052-U
SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF CLARK D. COTTEN

DISCUSSION

Q. Did OG&E respond to any of the recommendations you presented in your Direct Testimony?

A. No. However, OG&E witness Donald R. Rowlett stated that “[m]y failure to address each and every assertion or claim made by other parties in this Docket does not indicate my acquiescence or agreement with such assertion or claim.”¹

Q. Did any other Party to this docket address the issues discussed in your Direct Testimony?

A. No.

Q. Have your recommendations changed from those you presented in your Direct Testimony?

A. No. Based on my review of the Direct Testimony of the other Parties to this Docket and the Rebuttal Testimony of OG&E, I continue to support my Direct Testimony position and recommendations as stated herein.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

¹ Rebuttal Testimony of Donald R. Rowlett, p. 2, lines 12-14.

OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
DOCKET NO. 16-052-U
SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF CLARK D. COTTEN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing has been served on all parties of record by electronic mail via the Electronic Filing System this 30th day of March, 2017.

/s/ Justin A. Hinton
Justin A. Hinton